6
Referências bibliográficas

AAKER,D.A., (1984). Strategic Market Management, 2a ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

ABERNATHY, W. J. (1978). The Productivity dilemma: Roadblock to innovation in the automobile industry. Baltimore, Md.: Johns Hopkins Press.

ÁLVARES, F.H.A e SILVA, J.F. (2001). Impacto das Estratégias no Desempenho das Empresas Siderúrgicas. PUC-Rio, Departamento de Administração.

ANAND,J. e H.SINGH (1997). Asset Redeployment, Acquisitions and Corporate Strategy in Declining Industries, Strategic Management Journal, 18, pp. 99-118.

ARBUCKLE, J. A. e WOTHKE, W. (1999). Amos 4.0 User Guide. SmallWaters Corporation.

AUSTIN, J. E. (1990). Managing in Developing Countries: Strategic Analysis and Operating Techniques. New York: The Free Press.. (p.29-75)

BAIN,J.S. (1956). Barriers to New Competition, Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

BARNEY,J.B. (1991). Firms resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, v. 17, n. 1, pp. 99-120.

BARNEY,J.B. (2001). Gaining and Sustaining Competitive Advantages, 2nd ed. Perason Education.

CAMERON, K. S. & WHETTEN, D. A. (1983). Organizational effectiveness: A comparison of multiple methods. New York: Academic Press

CAVES, R. E. e PORTER, M. E. (1977). From entry barriers to mobility barriers: conjectural decisions and contrived deterrence to new competition. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 241-262.

CHANDLER, A. D. (1962). Strategy and Structure: Chapters in the history of the industrial enterprise. Cambridge, MA:MIT Press.

COLLIS, D. & MONTGOMERY, C. (1998). Creating corporate advantage. Harvard Business Review, pp. 71-83.

COOK, T.D. & CAMPBELL, D.T. (1976). The design and conduct of quasi-experiments and true experiments I field settings. Em M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology. Pp 223-336. Chicago: Rand McNally.

CORNER, K. (1991). A historical comparison of resource-based theory and five schools of thought within industrial economics: do we have a new theory of the firm? Journal of Management, v. 17(1), pp. 121-154.

DESS, G.D. e DAVIS, P.S. (1984). Porter's(1980) generic strategies as determinants of strategic group membership and organizational performance. Academy of Management Journal, pp. 467-488.

DIAS, A.T. e GONÇALVES, C.A. (2004). Competição, Orientação Estratégica e Desempenho em Ambiente Turbulento: uma abordagem empírica. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, CEPEAD.

GARSON, G. David. Quantitative research in public administration: Structural Equation Modeling. Disponível em: <http://www2.chass.ncsu.edu/garson/pa765/structur.htm>. Acessado em 11/03/2006

GIL, A. C. (1991). Como Elaborar Projetos de Pesquisa. 4a edição. Editora Atlas.

GOULART,P.M.(2001). Estratégias Competitivas e Colaborativas: os Impactos no Desempenho da Indústria Bancária. Departamento de Administração da PUC-Rio. Dissertação de Mestrado.PORTER, M.E. (1986). Estratégia Competitiva, 7a. ed. Campus.

HAMBRICK, D. C. (1980). Operationalizing the concept of business-level stratregy in research. Academy of Management Review, v.5, n.4, pp. 567-575.

HAIR, J.F; ANDERSON, R.E.; TATHAM, R.L.; BLACK, W. Multivariate data analysys. 5th ed. New York: Prentice Hall, 1998.

HAWAWINI, G., SUBBRAMANIAN,V. e VERDIN,P. (2003). Is performance driven by industry- or firm- specific factors? A new look at the evidence. Strategic Management Journal, 24, pp. 1-16.

HELFAT, C. & PETERAF, M. (2003). The dynamic resource-based view: capability lifecycles. Strategic Management Journal, v. 24, pp. 997-1010.

HILL, C. W. & DEEDS, D. L. (1996). The importance of industry structure for the determination of the firm profitability: a neo-austrian perspective. Journal of Management Studies, v. 33, n. 4, p. 429-451.

HOFER, C. W. (1983) ROVA: A new measure for assessing organizational performance. In R. Lamb (Ed.) Advances in strategic management, Vol. 2, pp. 43-55, New York: JAI Press.

HULLAND, J. (1999). Use of Partial Least Squares (PLS) in strategic management research: a review of four recent studies. Strategic Management Journal, v. 20, n. 2, pp. 195-204.

KOGUT, B. & ZANDER, U. (1996). What firms do? Coordination, identity and learning. Organization Science, v. 7(5), pp. 502-518.

MARQUES, J. A. V. C. (2004). Análise Financeira das Empresas: liquidez, retorno e criação de valor. Editora UFRJ.

MASON,E.S. (1939). Price and production policies of large scale enterprise.American Econimic Review,29,pp.61-74.

MCGAHAN,A.M e PORTER, M. (1997). How much does industry matter, really? Strategic Management Journal, Summer Special Issue 18:15-30.

MILLER, A. & DESS, G. G. (1996). Strategic Management. New York: McGraw-Hill.

MINTZBERG, H. (1967). The science of strategy-making. Industrial Management Review, v. 8, n. 2, pp. 71-81, Spring.

MINTZBERG, H. & MCHUGH, A. (1985). Strategy formulation in as adhocracy. Administrative Science Quarterly, 30, pp. 160-197.

MINTZBERG, H. , AHLSTRAND, B. & LAMPEL, J. (1998). Safári de Estratégia. Bookman.

PENROSE, E. T. (1958). The theory of the growth of the firm. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

PETERAF, M.A. (1993). The cornerstones of competitive advantage: a resource-based view. Strategic Management Journal, 14, pp. 179-191.

PORTER, M.E. (1980). Estratégia Competitiva. Campus.

PORTER, M.E. (1985). Vantagem Competitiva. Campus.

PORTER,M.E. (1999). Competição, 10ª ed., Campus, pp. 107-125 (HARRINGAN,K.R and M.E.PORTER (1983). Estratégias de fim de jogo para setores em decadência)

PRAHALAD, C.K. and G.HAMEL (1990). The Core Competence of the Organization. Harvard Business Review, 90, pp. 79-93

RUGMAN, A. & VERBEKE, A. (2002). Edith Penrose's contribution to the resource-based view of strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, v. 23, pp. 769-780.

RUMELT, R. (1984). Toward a strategic theory of the firm.In R.Lamb (ed.), Competitive Strategic Management. Upper Saddle River, NJ:Prentice Hall.

RUMELT, R. (1991). How much does industry matter? Strategic Management Journal, 3, pp. 359-369.

SCANDURA, T. & WILLIAMS, E. (2000). Research Methodology in Management: Current Pratices, Trends, and Implications for Future Research. Academy of Management Journal, 43, 6, pp. 1248-1264.

SCHMALENSEE,R. (1985). Do markets differ much? American Economic Review 75(3): 341-351.

SCHUMPETER, J. (1934). The theory of economic development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

SCHROEDER, R., BATES, K. & JUNTTILA, M. (2002). A resource-based view of manufacturing strategy and the relationship to manufacturing performance. Strategic Management Journal, v. 213, pp. 105-117.

SMITH, A. (1776). A Riqueza das Nações. Ed. Martins Fontes

SPANOS, Y. e LIOUKAS, S. (2001). An examination into the causal logic of rent generation: contrasting Porter's competitive strategy framework and the resource-based perspective. Strategic Management Journal, v. 22. pp. 907 – 934.

STEINER, G. A. & MINER, J. B. (1977). Management policy and strategy: text, readings and cases. New York:Macmillian

TEECE, D., PISANO, .G E SHUEN, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, v. 18:7, pp. 509 – 533.

URIU,R.M.(1984). The Declining Industries of Japan: Adjustment and Realocation, Journal of International Affairs, pp. 101-111.

VENKATRAMAN, N. & GRANT, J. H. (1986). Construct Measurement in Organizational Strategy Research: A Critical and a Proposal. The Academy of Management Review, v. 11, n. 1, pp. 71-87.

VENKATRAMAN, N. & CAMILLUS, J. C. (1984). Exploring the concept of 'fit" in strategic management. The Academy of Management Review, v. 9, n. 3, pp. 513-525.

VENKATRAMAN, N. & RAMANUJAM, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategy research: a comparison of approaches. The Academy of Management Review, v. 11, n. 4, pp. 801-814.

VON NEUMANN, J. & MORGENSTERN, O. (1944). The theory of games and economic behaviour. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

WALKER, BOYD e LARRECHÉ (1996). Marketing Strategy, Boston, Irwin, 1996

WERNERFELT, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. Strategic Management Journal, 5, pp. 171-180.

WINTER., S. (2003). *Understanding Dynamic Capabilities*. Strategic Management Journal, v. 34, pp. 991-995.

7
Anexo

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
RECNAT	8.926E-02	-7.51E-02	-.116	4.802E-02	-1.88E-02	.754	-.144	4.957E-02	-1.06E-02	-7.91E-02
MAOOBRA	.241	-9.56E-02	.245	8.295E-02	-9.00E-03	-.227	.608	5.910E-02	.198	-.108
CAPITAL	-9.25E-02	2.200E-02	5.802E-02	1.523E-02	.726	1.811E-02	5.062E-02	-2.81E-02	1.520E-02	-7.79E-02
INFRA	.282	-.101	6.593E-02	.101	-5.42E-02	1.055E-02	-.539	.184	-6.85E-02	-4.66E-03
TECNO	.148	.338	3.968E-02	.250	-.336	7.063E-02	.220	-5.00E-02	2.685E-02	-.551
ESTAB	.122	-9.55E-02	-.278	-2.15E-02	8.765E-02	.169	.535	.219	-.381	.124
IDEOL	-.300	5.899E-02	.368	-9.96E-02	-5.59E-02	.490	.140	-.189	-4.30E-02	-.118
INSTIT	6.087E-02	.154	8.153E-02	.149	-.187	-4.61E-02	4.770E-02	-6.76E-02	1.591E-02	.749
LINKSGEO	-1.51E-02	4.745E-02	-9.53E-03	.109	.331	-2.66E-02	6.234E-02	.589	-.100	-1.91E-03
ESTRSOC	.359	.122	-1.67E-03	1.638E-02	.325	.450	.122	3.923E-02	.117	.244
NATHUM	-.699	7.958E-02	-6.50E-02	-2.74E-02	.200	-6.67E-02	-7.33E-02	-3.77E-02	9.814E-02	3.947E-02
OESPTEMP	-5.59E-03	7.282E-03	5.412E-02	-.112	-.259	2.606E-02	-8.29E-02	.713	.137	-5.22E-02
RELIG	-2.19E-02	.743	-.128	2.215E-02	7.061E-02	-2.85E-02	4.459E-02	-.151	8.706E-03	-1.90E-02
SEXO	3.103E-03	4.626E-02	-.705	7.480E-02	7.803E-02	1.209E-02	-5.71E-02	1.168E-02	7.774E-02	-.194
LING	.487	.119	3.444E-03	-.186	.160	-5.12E-03	-.229	-.214	.275	6.240E-02
CRESPOP	-.122	-2.94E-02	5.798E-02	-.750	-.122	.112	4.176E-02	9.047E-02	.177	1.552E-02
IDADE	1.182E-02	-8.17E-02	-.132	-1.83E-02	1.409E-02	1.840E-02	8.946E-02	5.394E-02	.805	1.579E-02
URBAN	.148	4.757E-02	.607	8.818E-02	.297	-5.58E-02	-.127	.126	-4.71E-02	-.171
MIGRA	-.263	-3.76E-02	3.758E-02	.664	-.129	.213	2.714E-03	.129	.250	.126
SAUDE	-1.58E-02	.674	.134	-3.05E-02	-2.60E-02	4.763E-03	-7.70E-02	.233	-8.64E-02	8.792E-02

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 21 iterations.

Quadro 13 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Fatores Ambientais

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component		
	1	2	3
CROSSTRA	6.647E-02	.879	-6.82E-02
MULTAREF	.658	-.451	-.137
SUGMELH	2.690E-02	-4.63E-02	.982
IMPLSUG	.799	.261	.122

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.

Quadro 14 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Aprendizado Interno

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component		
	1	2	3
RELFORN	.622	-.371	-.367
COMUFORN	5.998E-02	-8.78E-02	.909
FEEDCLI	.794	.224	.226
CLIDESEN	5.904E-02	.908	-8.85E-02

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 9 iterations.

Quadro 15 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Aprendizado Externo

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DESREC	.108	-.238	.524	7.373E-02	-9.59E-02	-.143	2.048E-02	.305
SERVCLI	-7.46E-02	-.120	2.292E-02	-8.17E-02	.125	7.247E-02	.805	6.994E-02
EFOPER	-.142	.145	.292	2.169E-02	5.069E-02	.440	-.231	.333
QUALPROD	-4.85E-02	-.571	-3.21E-02	.241	-3.66E-03	-.289	-4.06E-02	8.536E-02
INVENT	-1.83E-02	-2.08E-02	-.102	-4.66E-02	-2.97E-02	.743	.103	-.125
PRECCOM	-7.26E-03	.693	-2.34E-02	.229	7.350E-02	-.163	-.211	5.694E-02
VARPROD	-.144	-.288	-.268	-.476	.313	4.173E-02	-.405	.215
DESVPROD	.668	.102	1.540E-03	-6.65E-02	-9.11E-02	-.127	8.677E-02	-.143
METODMKT	.719	-.103	-9.64E-02	-8.01E-02	1.427E-02	4.539E-02	-.168	.121
DISTRIB	7.467E-02	-.119	-.219	.194	-.245	.273	.177	.461
PRCMATPR	-4.32E-02	.382	-.361	-.240	-7.03E-02	-.250	.262	.272
MINFINAN	-.111	1.996E-02	-1.67E-02	-1.05E-03	.818	-7.03E-02	7.182E-02	-7.20E-02
MERCGEO	-1.26E-02	3.303E-02	8.926E-02	-7.01E-02	4.523E-02	-.126	2.996E-03	.670
ALTOPREC	.440	8.552E-02	3.599E-02	.263	.516	.167	7.512E-02	.146
ANUNCIO	-.149	.101	.719	-.178	3.579E-02	5.087E-03	5.714E-02	-5.16E-02
INOVMANU	-.149	-4.55E-02	-.149	.771	.103	-3.68E-02	-.112	8.824E-03

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 18 iterations.

Quadro 16 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Estratégia

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component		
	1	2	3
ENTR	.193	.603	-.517
RIVAL	-8.71E-02	.821	.232
SUBST	.108	.138	.821
FORN	.693	5.042E-02	.180
COMPR	-.759	4.409E-02	.117

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 7 iterations.

Quadro 17 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Estrutura da Indústria

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
INTEGRAC	.238	.609	4.010E-02	.157	2.580E-02
TRANSF	.686	8.663E-02	7.404E-02	3.318E-02	.128
PROCINT	.136	.152	8.434E-02	.819	-8.13E-02
RECTECNO	.176	.115	2.421E-02	-.128	.872
RECCOMPL	.314	-.214	.612	-.177	-8.64E-03
RECFINAN	-.559	.338	.259	-.321	7.067E-02
REPUT	-.171	7.322E-02	.794	.187	-7.29E-03
RECESTRU	-.396	-.286	-.132	.426	.502
POSMERC	.193	-.702	.114	2.232E-02	-2.32E-02

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 17 iterations.

Quadro 18 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Processos e Equipamentos Proprietários

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
MKTSHR	.548	.272	.361	7.099E-02	-5.41E-03	-9.23E-02
CMKTSHR	3.007E-02	-.253	3.405E-02	.614	-.214	.155
QUALPRO	.725	-9.98E-02	-5.37E-03	.112	3.608E-02	-7.47E-02
QUALSERV	6.860E-02	.714	-.117	.148	.135	-1.11E-02
RESLIQ	-4.90E-02	-9.36E-02	1.916E-02	-.619	-7.34E-02	.136
LPA	-4.60E-02	5.544E-02	-.806	4.121E-04	1.034E-02	-.113
ROA	-2.83E-02	5.487E-02	.256	1.129E-02	-.615	-7.03E-02
ROE	-.125	-8.11E-03	.188	-.145	7.702E-02	.822
SATISAC	6.620E-02	.123	.102	2.100E-02	.614	.133
SATISEMP	6.923E-02	4.542E-02	-.254	.343	.159	.413
SATISFOR	.131	-.608	-7.56E-02	.184	.136	-3.62E-02
SATISCLI	-.216	-.244	.307	-9.63E-02	.512	-.262
SATISENT	-.515	.177	.276	.398	1.432E-02	-.163

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 10 iterations.

Quadro 19 Matriz de fatores rodados do construto Desempenho