

8 REFERÊNCIAS BIBLIOGRÁFICAS

- AARNIO, Aulis (1977). *On Legal Reasoning*. Turku: Turun Yliopisto.
- _____. (1997). *Reason and Authority: A Treatise on the Dynamic Paradigm of Legal Dogmatics*. Aldershot: Dartmouth.
- _____.; ALEXY, Robert; PECZENIK, Aleksander (1981). The foundation of legal reasoning. *Rechtstheorie*, n. 12, p. 133-158, 257-279, 423-448.
- ABELLÁN, Marina Gascón; FIGUEROA, Alfonso García (2005). *La Argumentación en el Derecho*. 2ª edição. Lima: Palestra Editores.
- ALCHOURRÓN, Carlos (2000). Sobre derecho y lógica. *Isonomia*, n. 13, p. 11-33.
- _____.; BULYGIN, Eugenio (1971). *Normative Systems*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- _____.; BULYGIN, Eugenio (1991). *Análisis Lógico y Derecho*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- _____.; BULYGIN, Eugenio (1997). *Sobre la Existencia de las Normas Jurídicas*. Cidade do México: Fontanamara.
- _____.; MARTINO, Antonio (1990). Logic without truth. *Ratio Juris*, v. 3, n. 1, p. 46-67.
- ALEXY, Robert (1989). *Teoría de la Argumentación Jurídica: La Teoría del Discurso Racional como Teoría de la Fundamentación Jurídica*. Tradução Manuel Atienza e Isabel Espejo. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- _____. (1993). *Derecho y Razón Práctica*. Tradução Ernesto Garzón Valdez. Cidade do México: Fontamara.
- _____. (1993). Justification and application of norms. *Ratio Juris*, v. 6, n. 2, p. 157-170.
- _____. (1993). *Teoría de los Derechos Fundamentales*. Tradução Ernesto Garzón Valdés. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- _____. (1994). *El Concepto y la Validez del Derecho y Otros Ensayos*. Tradução Jorge M. Seña. Barcelona: Gedisa.
- _____. (1995). *Teoría del Discurso y Derechos Humanos*. Tradução Luis Villar Borda. Bogotá: Universidad Externado de Colombia.

- _____. (2005). *La Institucionalización de la Justicia*. Tradução J. A. Seoane, E. R. Soderó e P. Rodríguez Granada: Editorial Comares.
- _____.; BULYGIN, Eugenio (2001). *La Pretensión de Corrección del Derecho. La Polémica Alexy/Bulygin sobre la Relación entre Derecho y Moral*. Tradução Paula Gaido. Bogotá: Universidad Externado de Colombia.
- _____.; PECZENIK, Aleksander (1990). The concept of coherence and its significance for discursive rationality. *Ratio Juris*, v. 3, n. 1, p. 130-147.
- AMADO, Juan Antonio García (2000). La teoría de la argumentación jurídica: logros y carencias. *Revista de Ciencias Sociales – Universidad de Valparaíso*, n. 45, p. 103-129.
- ANSCOMBE, G. E. M (1958). On brute facts. *Analysis*, n. 18, p. 69-72.
- ARGÜELLES, Juan R. de Páramo (1984). *H. L. A. Hart y la Teoría Analítica del Derecho*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- _____. (2003). Razonamiento jurídico e interpretación constitucional. In: LAPORTA, Francisco J. (ed.). *Constitución: Problemas Filosóficos*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Políticos y Constitucionales, p. 193-220.
- ARNOLD, Christopher (1979). Institutional aspects of law. *The Modern Law Review*, v. 42, n. 6, p. 667-682.
- ATIENZA, Manuel (1990). Para una teoría de la argumentación jurídica. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofía del Derecho*, n. 8, p. 39-61.
- _____. (1995). *Tras la Justicia: Una Introducción al Derecho y al Razonamiento Jurídico*. Barcelona: Ariel.
- _____. (1996). El derecho como argumentación. *Revista de La Academia Colombiana de Jurisprudencia*, n. 308, p. 113-139.
- _____. (1997). *Las Razones del Derecho: Teorías de la Argumentación Jurídica*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- _____. (1998). A propósito de la argumentación jurídica. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofía del Derecho*, n. 21-II, p. 33-50.
- _____. (2003). Argumentación jurídica y estado constitucional. *Anales de Jurisprudencia*, n. 261, p. 353-369.
- _____.; MANERO, Juan Ruiz (1996). *Las Piezas del Derecho: Teoría de los Enunciados Jurídicos*. Barcelona: Ariel.
- ATRIA, Fernando (1999). Legal reasoning and legal theory revisited. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 18, p. 537-577.

- _____ (2002). *On Law and Legal Reasoning*. Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- ÁVILA, Humberto (2004). *Teoria dos Princípios: Da Definição à Aplicação dos Princípios Jurídicos*. 3ª edição. São Paulo: Malheiros.
- BALKIN, J. M. (1994). Understanding legal understanding: the legal subject and the problem of legal coherence. *Yale Law Journal*, v. 103, p. 105-176.
- BANKOWSKI, Zenon (1981). The value of truth: fact scepticism revisited. *Legal Studies*, v. 1, p. 257-266.
- _____ (1998). Parable and analogy: the universal and particular in common law. *Acta Juridica*, p. 138-163.
- BIX, Brian (1998). *Law, Language, and Legal Determinacy*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- BOER, Monica den (1991). Two in one trolley: reflections on the relation between MacCormick's institutional and narrative theory of law. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 255-266.
- BULYGIN, Eugenio (1995). Cognition and interpretation of law. In: GIANFORMAGGIO, Letizia; PAULSON, Stanley (eds.). *Cognition and Interpretation of Law*. Torino: G. Giappichelli Editore, p. 11-35.
- _____ (2003). El papel de la verdad en el discurso normativo. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofía del Derecho*, n. 26, p. 79-86.
- BURTON, Steven (1982). Coment on "Empty Ideas": logical positivist analyses of equality and rules. *Yale Law Journal*, v. 91, p. 1136-1152.
- _____ (1988). Professor MacCormick's claim regarding universalization and induction in law. In: FARALLI, C.; PATTARO E. (eds.). *Reason in Law – Vol. II*. Milan: Dott. A. Giuffrè Editore, p. 155-166.
- _____ (1995). *An Introduction to Law and Legal Reasoning*. Second Edition. USA: Aspen Publishers.
- COPI, Irving (1978). *Introdução à Lógica*. 2ª edição. Tradução Álvaro de Cabral. São Paulo: Mestre Jou.
- DAHLMAN, Christian (2004). Fused modality or confused modality? *Ratio Juris*, v. 17, n. 1, p. 80-86.
- DETMOLD, M. J. (1984). *The Unity of Law and Morality: A Refutation of Legal Positivism*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- DEWEY, John (1914). Logical method and law. *Cornell Law Review*, v. 10, p. 17-27.

DWORKIN, Ronald (1964). Wasserstrom: the judicial decision. *Ethics*, v. 75, n. 1, p. 47-56.

_____ (1977). *Taking Rights Seriously*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

_____ (1985). *A Matter Of Principle*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

_____ (1986). *Law's Empire*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

_____ (2004). Hart's postscript and the character of political philosophy. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 24, n. 1, p. 1-37.

ENG, Svein (2000). Fusion of descriptive and normative propositions. The concepts of 'descriptive proposition' and 'normative proposition' as concepts of degree. *Ratio Juris*, v. 13, n. 3, p. 236-260.

_____ (2005). Fused modality. An integral part of lawyers' form of life. *Ratio Juris*, v. 18, n. 4, p. 429-433.

FIGUEROA, Alfonso García (1998). *Principios y Positivismo Jurídico: El No Positivismo Principialista en las Teorías de Ronald Dworkin y Robert Alexy*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Políticos y Constitucionales.

_____ (2000). Haciendo justicia desde el lado activo del derecho. Teoría de la argumentación y teoría del derecho. *Revista de Ciencias Sociales – Universidad de Valparaíso*, n. 45, p. 193-218.

GIANFORMAGGIO, Letizia (1987). Lógica y argumentación en la interpretación jurídica o tomar a los juristas intérpretes en serio. Tradução Juan Antonio Pérez Lledó. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofía del Derecho*, n. 4, p. 87-108.

_____ (1990). On moral and legal justification. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie*, LXXVI/1, p. 145-157.

_____ (1993). La noción de procedimiento en la teoría de la argumentación jurídica. Tradução Juan Ruiz Manero. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofía del Derecho*, n. 14, p. 159-167.

GROOT, Muriel de; VRIELINK, Mirjan Oude (1998). Legal theory and sociological fact. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 17, p. 251-270.

GUASTINI, Riccardo (1999). *Distinguiendo: Estudios de Teoría e Metateoría del Derecho*. Tradução Jordi Ferrer i Beltrán. Barcelona: Gedisa.

GUEST, A. G. (1961). Logic in the law. In: Guest, A. G. (ed.). *Oxford Essays in Jurisprudence*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p. 176-197.

GÜNTHER, Klaus (1989). A normative conception of coherence for a discursive theory of legal justification. *Ratio Juris*, v. 2, n. 2, p. 155-166.

- _____ (1993). Critical remarks on Robert Alexy's "special-case thesis". *Ratio Juris*, v. 6, n. 2, p. 143-156.
- _____ (2004). *Teoria da Argumentação no Direito e na Moral: Justificação e Aplicação*. Tradução Claudio Molz. São Paulo: Landy.
- HAAKONSSSEN, Knud (1981). The limits of reason and the infinity of argument. *ASLP Bulletin*, n. 21, p. 2-20.
- HABERMAS, Jürgen (1998). *Between Facts and Norms: Contributions to a Discourse Theory of Law and Democracy*. Tradução William Rehg. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- HAGE, Jaap (1996). A theory of legal reasoning and a logic to match. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, v. 4, p. 199-273.
- _____ (1997). *Reasoning with Rules: An Essay on Legal Reasoning and its Underlying Logic*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- _____ (2000). Rule consistency. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 19, p. 369-390.
- _____ (2001). Legal logic, its existence, nature and use. In: SOETEMAN, Arend (ed.). *Pluralism and Law*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, p. 347-373.
- _____ (2003). Law and defeasibility. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, v. 11, p. 221-243.
- _____ (2004). Law and coherence. *Ratio Juris*, v. 17, n. 1, p. 87-105.
- _____; PECZENIK, Aleksander (2000). Law, morals and defeasibility. *Ratio Juris*, v. 13, n. 3, p. 305-325.
- HART, H. L. A. (1978). The ascription of responsibility and rights. In: FLEW, Antony (ed.). *Logic and Language (First Series)*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, p. 145-166.
- _____ (1983). *Essays in Jurisprudence and Philosophy*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- _____ (1994). *The Concept of Law*. Second Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- HENKET, Maarten (1992). On the logical analysis of judicial decisions. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, V/14, p. 153-164.
- HULSEN, Peter (1998). Back to basics: a theory of the emergence of institutional facts. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 17, p. 271-299.

- HUME, David (1978). *A Treatise of Human Nature (edited with an analytical index by L. A. Selby-Bigge)*. Second edition with text revised by P. H. Nidditch. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- HURLEY, Patrick (2005). *A Concise Introduction to Logic*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.
- JACKSON, Bernard (1988). *Law, Fact and Narrative Coherence*. United Kingdom, Liverpool: Deborah Charles Publications.
- _____ (1991). Introduction: semiotics and institutional theory. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 227-232.
- _____ (1991). Semiotic scepticism: a response to Neil MacCormick. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/11, p. 175-190.
- _____ (1992). MacCormick on logical justification in easy cases: semiotic critique. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, V/14, p. 203-214.
- _____ (1998). Logic and semiotics: ontology or linguistic structure? *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, XI/33, p. 323-327.
- _____ (1998). With reference to Touchie... *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, XI/31, p. 79-93.
- JANSEN, Henrike (2005). E contrario reasoning: the dilemma of the silent legislator. *Argumentation*, v. 19, p. 485-496.
- JONG, Huib M. de; WERNER, Wouter G (1998). Continuity and change in legal positivism. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 17, p. 233-250.
- JØRGENSEN, Jørgen (1937-1938). Imperatives and logic. *Erkenntnis*, n. VII, p. 288-296.
- JORI, Mario (1998). On Touchie, logic and the universe. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, XI/31, p. 59-65.
- KAPTEIN, Hendrik (1993). E contrario arguments in law: from interpretation to implicit premisses. A reply to Henket, with some Addenda. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, VI/18, p. 315-324.
- KELSEN, Hans (1986). *Teoria Geral das Normas (Allgemeine Theorie der Normen)*. Tradução e Revisão José Florentino Duarte. Porto Alegre: Sergio Antonio Fabris.
- KELVELSON, Roberta (1998). Discussion on J. Touchie's reply to B. Jackson's "MacCormick on logical justification in easy cases: a semiotic critique". *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, XI/31, p. 67-71.

LA TORRE, Massimo (1993). Institutionalism old and new. *Ratio Juris*, v. 6, n. 2, p. 190-201.

_____ (2002). Theories of legal argumentation and concepts of law: an approximation. *Ratio Juris*, v. 15, n. 4, p. 377-402.

LEVENBOOK, Barbara Baum (1984). On universal relevance in legal reasoning. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 3, p. 1-23.

_____ (1984). The role of coherence in legal reasoning. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 3, p. 355-374.

LUHMANN, Niklas (1995). Legal argumentation: an analysis of its form. *The Modern Law Review*, v. 58, n. 3, p. 285-298.

LUCY, William (1999). *Understanding and Explaining Adjudication*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

LYONS, David (1984). Justification and judicial responsibility. *California Law Review*, v. 72, p. 178-199.

MACCORMICK, Neil (1973). Legal obligation and the imperative fallacy. In: SIMPSON, A. W. B. (ed.). *Oxford Essays in Jurisprudence. Second Series*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p. 100-130.

_____ (1974). Principles of law. *Juridical Review*, v. 19, p. 217-226.

_____ (1975). Jurisprudence in the law course: a footnote. *Society of Public Teachers of Law*, v. 13, p. 359-362.

_____ (1977). Challenging sociological definition. *British Journal of Law and Society*, v. 4, n. 1, p. 87-94.

_____ (1977). Iudex qui litem suam fecit. *Acta Juridica*, p. 149-165.

_____ (1978). Does the United Kingdom have a constitution? Reflections on MacCormick v. Lord Advocate. *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly*, v. 29, n. 1 & 2, p. 1-20.

_____ (1978). Dworkin as pre-benthamine. *The Philosophical Review*, LXXXVII/4, p. 585-607.

_____ (1978). *Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory (with revised foreword – 1994)*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

_____ (1979). Law, obligation and consent. Reflections on Stair and Locke. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie*, LXV/3, p. 387-411.

_____ (1980). The coherence of a case and the reasonableness of doubt. *Liverpool Law Review*, v. 2, p. 45-50.

- _____ (1981). Adam Smith on law. *Valparaiso Law Review*, v. 15, p. 243-263.
- _____ (1981). A political frontier of jurisprudence: John Chipman Gray on the state. *Cornell Law Review*, v. 66, p. 973-985.
- _____ (1981). *H. L. A. Hart*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- _____ (1981). Natural law reconsidered. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 1, p. 99-109.
- _____ (1981). The limits of reason: a reply to Dr. Knud Haakonssen. *ASLP Bulletin*, n. 21, p. 21-31.
- _____ (1982). Legal reasoning and practical reason. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, n. 7, p. 271-286.
- _____ (1982). Rights, claims and remedies. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 1, p. 337-357.
- _____ (1982). The nature of legal reasoning: a brief reply to Dr. Wilson. *Legal Studies*, v. 2, p. 286-290.
- _____ (1983). Contemporary legal philosophy: the rediscovery of practical reason. *Journal of Law & Society*, v. 10, n. 1, p. 1-18.
- _____ (1983). Jurisprudence and the Constitution. *Current Legal Problems*, v. 36, p. 13-30.
- _____ (1983). On legal decision and their consequences: from Dewey to Dworkin. *New York Law Review*, v. 58, n. 2, p. 239-258.
- _____ (1983). What is wrong with deceit? *Sydney Law Review*, v. 10, p. 5-19.
- _____ (1984). Coherence in legal justification. In: KRAWIETZ, W. et al. (eds.). *Theorie der Normen*. Berlin: Dunker und Humblot, p. 37-53.
- _____ (1985). Analytical jurisprudence and the possibility of legal knowledge. *Saskatchewan Law Review*, v. 49, p. 1-13.
- _____ (1985). The democratic intellect and the law. *Legal Studies*, v. 5, p. 172-182.
- _____ (1986). A moralistic case for a-moralistic law? *Valparaiso Law Review*, v. 20, n. 1, p. 1-40.
- _____ (1986). A too brief reply to D'Amato, Boyle, Cullison and Stith. *Valparaiso Law Review*, v. 20, n. 1, p. 77-82.
- _____ (1986). Spontaneous order and the Rule of Law: some problems. *Jahrbuch des Öffentlichen Rechts der Gegenwart*, n. 35, p. 1-13.

- _____ (1987). Universalization and induction in law. In: FARALLI, C.; PATTARO E. (eds.). *Reason in Law*. Milan: Dott. A. Giuffrè Editore, p. 91-105.
- _____ (1987). Why cases have *rationes* and what these are. In: GOLDSTEIN, Laurence. (ed.). *Precedent and Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p. 155-182.
- _____ (1988). Institutions, arrangements and practical information. *Ratio Juris*, v. 1, n. 1, p. 73-82.
- _____ (1989). Discretion and rights. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 8, p. 23-36.
- _____ (1989). General legal concepts. In: SMITH, T. B.; BLACK, R. (eds.). *The Laws of Scotland: Stair Memorial Encyclopaedia – Vol. II*. Edinburgh: Butterworths/Law Society of Scotland, p. 359-419.
- _____ (1989). The ethics of legalism. *Ratio Juris*, v. 2, n. 2, p. 184-193.
- _____ (1990). *Derecho Legal y Socialdemocracia*. Tradução Lola Gozález Soler. Madrid: Tecnos.
- _____ (1990). Of self-determination and other things. *Bulletin of the Australian Society of Legal Philosophy*, v. 15, p. 1-20.
- _____ (1990). Reconstruction after deconstruction: a response to CLS. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 10, n. 4, p. 539-558.
- _____ (1991). *Donoghue v Stevenson* and legal reasoning. In: BURNS, P. T.; LYONS, S. J. (eds.). *Donoghue v Stevenson and the Modern Law of Negligence*. Vancouver BC: Continuing Legal Education of British Columbia, p. 191-213.
- _____ (1991). Notes on narrativity and the normative syllogism. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/11, p. 163-174.
- _____ (1991). On 'open texture' in law. In: AMSELEK, Paul; MACCORMICK, Neil (eds.). *Controversies About Law's Ontology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, p. 72-83.
- _____ (1992). A deductivist rejoinder to a semiotic critique. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, V/14, p. 216-224.
- _____ (1992). Further thoughts on institutional facts. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, V/13, p. 3-15.
- _____ (1992). Legal deduction, legal predicates and expert systems. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, V/14, p. 182-202.

- _____. (1992). Natural law and the separation of law and morals. In: GEORGE, Robert P. (ed.). *Natural Law Theory: Contemporary Essays*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p. 105-133.
- _____. (1993). Beyond the sovereign state. *The Modern Law Review*, v. 56, n. 1, p. 1-18.
- _____. (1994). Four quadrants of jurisprudence. In: KRAWIETZ, W. et al (eds.). *Prescriptive Formality and Normative Rationality in Modern Legal Systems*. Berlin: Dunker & Humblot, p. 53-70.
- _____. (1994). Legal reasoning and the institutional theory of law. In: KOLLER, P.; KRAWIETZ, W.; STRASSER, P. (eds.). *Institution und Recht, Rechtsstheorie, Beiheft 14*. Berlin: Duncker & Humboldt GmbH, p. 117-139.
- _____. (1994). The concept of law and 'The concept of law'. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 14, n. 1, p. 1-23.
- _____. (1995). Argumentation and interpretation in law. *Argumentation*, v. 9, p. 467-480.
- _____. (1995). Defeasibility in law and logic. In: BANKOWSKI, Zenon; WHITE, Ian; KAHN, Ulrike (ed.). *Informatics and the Foundations of Legal Reasoning*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, p. 99-117.
- _____. (1995). Time, narratives, and law. In: BJARUP, Jes; BLEGVAD, Mogens (eds.). *Time, Law and Society*. ARSP-Beiheft 64, p. 111-125.
- _____. (1995). The relative heteronomy of law. *European Journal of Philosophy*, v. 3, p. 69-85.
- _____. (1996). The concept of law and *the concept of law*. In: GEORGE, Robert P. (ed.). *The Autonomy of Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p. 163-193.
- _____. (1997). Democracy, subsidiarity, and citizenship in the 'European Commonwealth'. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 16, p. 331-356.
- _____. (1997). Institutional normative order: a conception of law. *Cornell Law Review*, v. 82, p. 1051-1070.
- _____. (1997). The ideal and the actual of law and society. In: TASIOULAS, John (ed.). *Law, Values and Social Practices*. Aldershot: Dartmouth, p. 15-37.
- _____. (1998). Legal reasoning and interpretation. In: CRAIG, E. (ed.). *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. London: Routledge.
- _____. (1998). Norms, institutions and institutional facts. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 17, p. 301-345.

- _____. (1998). Reasonableness and objectivity. *Notre Dame Law Review*, v. 74, p. 1575-1603.
- _____. (1998). Risking constitutional collision in Europe. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 18, p. 517-532.
- _____. (1998). The significance of precedent. *Acta Juridica*, p. 174-187.
- _____. (1999). Can judges make mistakes? In: JUNG, H.; NEUMANN, U. (eds.). *Rechtsbegründung – Rechtsbegründungen*. Baden-Baden, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, p. 76-89.
- _____. (1999). Essay: institutions and laws again. *Texas Law Review*, v. 77, p. 1429-1441.
- _____. (1999). Jurisprudence, democracy, and the death of the Weimar Republic. *Texas Law Review*, v. 77, p. 1095-1106.
- _____. (1999). *Questioning Sovereignty: Law, State, and Nation in the European Commonwealth*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. (1999). Rhetoric and the rule of law. In: DYZENHAUS, David (ed.). *Recrafting the Rule of Law*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, p. 163-177.
- _____. (2000). Ethical positivism and the practical force of rules. In: CAMPBELL T.; GOLDSWORTHY J. (eds.). *Judicial Power, Democracy and Legal Positivism*. Aldershot: Dartmouth, p. 37-57.
- _____. (2000). Is there a constitutional path to Scottish independence? *Parliamentary Affairs*, v. 53, p. 721-736.
- _____. (2005). *Rhetoric and the Rule of Law: A Theory of Legal Reasoning*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. (2005). *Who's Afraid of a European Constitution?* Charlottesville, USA: Imprint Academic.
- _____.; BANKOWSKI, Zenon (1986). Speech acts, legal institutions, and real laws. In: MACCORMICK, Neil; BIRKS, Peter (ed.). *The Legal Mind: Essays for Tony Honoré*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p. 121-133.
- _____.; SUMMERS, Robert (eds). *Interpreting Statutes: A Comparative Study*. Aldershot: Dartmouth, 1991.
- _____.; SUMMERS, Robert (eds). *Interpreting Precedents: A Comparative Study*. Aldershot: Dartmouth, 1997.
- _____.; WEINBERGER, Ota (1986). *An Institutional Theory of Law: New Approaches to Legal Positivism*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Company.

- MAIA, Antônio Cavalcanti (1999). Notas sobre direito, argumentação e democracia. In: CAMARGO, Margarida Maria Lacombe (org.). *1988 – 1998: Uma Década de Constituição*. Rio de Janeiro: Renovar, p. 395-430.
- _____. (2001). A importância da dimensão argumentativa à compreensão da práxis jurídica contemporânea. *Revista Trimestral de Direito Civil – RTDC*, v. 8, p. 269-282.
- _____. (org.). *Perspectivas Atuais da Filosofia do Direito*. Rio de Janeiro: Lumen Juris, 2005.
- MANIACI, Giorgio (2001). La teoria del ragionamento giuridico di Neil D. MacCormick. *Analisi e diritto*, p. 145-178.
- MANSON, Manuel (1998). Normas, verdad y lógica formal. *Doxa - Cuardenos de Filosofia del Derecho*, n. 21-II, p. 237-250.
- MARMOR, Andrei (2001). *Interpretación y Teoría del Derecho*. Tradução Marcelo Mendoza Hurtado. Barcelona: Gedisa.
- MORESO, José Juan (1996). On relevance and justification of legal decisions. *Erkenntnis*, v. 44, p. 73-100.
- MORTON, Peter (1998). *An Institutional Theory of Law: Keeping Law in its Place*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- NAVARRO, Pablo (2001). Legal reasoning and systematization of law. In: SOETEMAN, Arend (ed.). *Pluralism and Law*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, p. 251-277.
- PAULSON, Stanley (1992). Kelsen's legal theory: the final round. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 12, p. 265-274.
- _____. (1998). Four phases in Hans Kelsen's legal theory? Reflection on a periodization. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 18, p. 153-166.
- PAYCHÈRE, François (1991). Contribution à une analyse narrative de la théorie institutionnelle, *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 267-278.
- PECZENIK, Aleksander (1982). Rationality of legal justification. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozial philosophie*, LXVIII/1, p. 137-163.
- _____. (1983). *The Basis of Legal Justification*. Lund: Infotryck.
- _____. (1985). Moral and ontological justification of legal reasoning. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 4, p. 289-309.
- _____. (1988). Legal reasoning as a special case of moral reasoning. *Ratio Juris*, v. 1, n. 2, p. 123-136.

- _____ (1989). *On Law and Reason*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- _____ (1994). Law, morality, coherence and truth. *Ratio Juris*, v. 7, n. 2, p. 146-176.
- _____ (1995). Argumentation in ethics, legal dogmatics and legal practice. *Argumentation*, v. 9, p. 747-756.
- _____ (1996). Jumps and logic in the law: what can one expect from logical models of legal argumentation? *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, v. 4, p. 297-329.
- _____; LINDAHL, Lars; ROERMUND, Bert Van (eds.). *Theory of Legal Science*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1984.
- PERELMAN, Chaïm; OLBRECHTS-TYTECA, Lucie (2002). *Tratado da Argumentação: A Nova Retórica*. Tradução Maria Ermantina Galvão. São Paulo: Martins Fontes.
- PINTORE, Anna (1991). Law as fact? MacCormick's institutional theory of law: between legal positivism and sociological jurisprudence. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 233-253.
- _____ (2000). *Law Without Truth*. United Kingdom, Liverpool: Deborah Charles Publications.
- POLLOCK, John (1970). *Logic: An Introduction to the Formal Study of Reasoning*. USA, Texas: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- PRAKKEN, Henry (2005). AI & law, logic and argument schemes. *Argumentation*, v. 19, p. 303-320.
- RAZ, Joseph (1980). *The Concept of a Legal System: An Introduction to the Theory of the Legal System*. Second Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- _____ (1992). The relevance of coherence. *Boston University Law Review*, v. 72, n. 2, p. 273-321.
- REDONDO, Maria Cristina (1996). *La Noción de Razón para la Acción en el Análisis Jurídico*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales.
- ROERMUND, Bert van (1991). The instituting of brute facts. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 279-308.
- ROSS, Alf (1944). Imperatives and logic. *Philosophy of Science*, v. 11, n. 1, p. 30-46.
- RUDDEN, Bernard (1979). Consequences. *Juridical Review*, v. 24, p. 193-201.

- RUITER, Dick (1997). A basic classification of legal institutions. *Ratio Juris*, v. 10, n. 4, p. 357-371.
- _____ (1998). Structuring legal institutions. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 17, p. 215-232.
- SAMUEL, Geoffrey (1991). Epistemology and legal institutions. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, IV/12, p. 309-333.
- SCHAUER, Frederick (1991). *Playing by the Rules. A Philosophical Examination of Rule-Based Decision-Making in Law and in Life*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- _____ (1991). Rules and the rule of law. *Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy*, v. 14, p. 645-694.
- SCHIAVELLO, Aldo (1998). Neil MacCormick teorico del diritto e dell'argomentazione giuridica. *Analisi e diritto*, p. 307-345.
- _____ (2001). On "coherence" and "law": an analysis of different models. *Ratio Juris*, v. 14, n. 2, p. 233-243.
- SEARLE, John (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- _____ (1995). *The Construction of Social Reality*. New York: The Free Press.
- SGARBI, Adrian (2005). O significado de direito. Observações a respeito de uma pergunta embaraçosa. In: MAIA, Antonio Cavalcanti (org.). *Perspectivas Atuais da Filosofia do Direito*. Rio de Janeiro: Renovar, p. 279-300.
- _____ (2005). Textos normativos e alguns problemas de adscrição de sentido. *Direito, estado e sociedade*, v. 24, abr/jun, p. 4-32.
- SINCLAIR, Michael (1986). The semantics of common law predicates. *Indiana Law Journal*, v. 61, p. 373-399.
- SMITH, Adam (1976). *The Theory of Moral Sentiments (edited by D. D. Raphael and A. L. Macfie)*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- SOETEMAN, Arend (1995). Formal aspects of legal reasoning. *Argumentation*, v. 9, p. 731-746.
- _____ (2003). Legal logic? Or can we do without? *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, v. 11, p. 197-210.
- STRAWSON, P. F. (1974). *Introduction to Logical Theory*. London: Methuen & Co. Ltd.
- STRUCHINER, Noel (2002). *Direito e Linguagem: Uma Análise da Textura Aberta da Linguagem e sua Aplicação ao Direito*. Rio de Janeiro: Renovar.

- SUMMERS, Robert (1978). Two types of substantive rules: the core of a theory of common-law justification. *Cornell Law Review*, v. 63, n. 5, p. 707-788.
- _____ (1983). Comments: Professor MacCormick on H. L. A. Hart's legal theory. *American Journal of Comparative Law*, v. 31, p. 481-488.
- _____ (1998). The principles of the rule of law. *Notre Dame Law Review*, v. 74, p. 1691-1712.
- TAMMELO, Ilmar (1970). Logic as an instrument of legal reasoning. *Jurimetrics*, v. 10, p. 89-94.
- TOUCHIE, John (1997). Jackson on the "decisions" underlying the application of rules. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, v. X, n. 30, p. 317-335.
- _____ (1998). Exit fantasyland: on the ontological status of "pure logic" and "pure" semantics. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, XI/32, p. 193-203.
- TOULMIN, Stephen (1958). *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- TUR, Richard (2001). Defeasibilism. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, v. 21, n. 2, p. 355-368.
- TWINING, William; MIERS, David (1991). *How to Do Things With Rules*. Third Edition. London: Butler & Tanner.
- URBINA, Sebastián (1996). Legal reasoning and formal criteria of recognition. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 15, p. 1-63.
- WALTON, Douglas (2002). Are some *modus ponens* arguments deductively invalid? *Informal Logic*, v. 22, n. 1, p. 19-46.
- WASSERSTROM, Richard (1961). *The Judicial Decision*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- WEINBERGER, Ota (1972). Fundamental problems of the theory of legal reasoning. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie*, LVIII/3, p. 305-336.
- _____ (1984). Is and ought reconsidered. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie*, LXX/4, p. 454-474.
- _____ (1985). Freedom, range for action, and the ontology of norms. *Synthese*, v. 65, p. 307-324.
- _____ (1985). The expressive conception of norms – an impasse for the logic of norms. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 4, p. 165-198.

- _____ (1986). Logic and the pure theory of law. In: TUR, Richard; TWINING, William (eds.). *Essays on Kelsen*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, p. 187-199.
- _____ (1991). Institutional theories of law. In: AMSELEK, Paul; MACCORMICK, Neil (eds.). *Controversies About Law's Ontology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, p. 43-53.
- _____ (1991). *Law, Institution and Legal Politics: Fundamental Problems of Legal Theory and Social Philosophy*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- _____ (1991). The logic of norms founded on descriptive language. *Ratio Juris*, v. 4, n. 3, p. 284-307.
- _____ (1993). Institutional theory of action and its significance for jurisprudence. *Ratio Juris*, v. 6, n. 2, p. 171-180.
- _____ (1999). Prima facie ought. A logical and methodological enquiry. *Ratio Juris*, v. 12, n. 3, p. 239-251.
- _____ (2001). A philosophical approach to norm logic. *Ratio Juris*, v. 14, n. 1, p. 130-141.
- _____ (2002). The language of practical philosophy. *Ratio Juris*, v. 15, n. 3, p. 283-293.
- WELLMAN, Vincent (1985). Practical reasoning and judicial justification: toward an adequate theory. *University of Colorado Law Review*, v. 57, p. 45-115.
- WHITE, Patricia (1980). Philosophy and law: some observations on MacCormick's Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory. *Michigan Law Review*, v. 78, p. 737-742.
- WILSON, Alida (1982). The nature of legal reasoning: a commentary with special reference to professor MacCormick's theory. *Legal Studies*, v. 2, p. 269-285.
- WERNER, Wouter (1995). Legal signs and legal science. The relevance of pragmatism for the institutional theory of law. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, VIII/23, p. 207-218.
- WRIGHT, Georg Henrik von (1951). Deontic logic. *Mind*, v. 60, n. 237, p. 1-15.
- _____ (1970). *Norma y Acción: Una Investigación Lógica*. Tradução Pedro García Ferrero. Madrid: Tecnos.
- _____ (1973). Deontic logic revisited. *Rechtstheorie*, n. 4, p. 37-46.

- _____ (1985). Is and ought. In: BULYGIN, Eugenio; GARDIES, Jean-Louis; NIINILUOTO, Ilkka (eds.). *Man, Law and Modern Forms of Life*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing, p. 263-281.
- _____ (1991). Is there a logic of norms?. *Ratio Juris*, v. 4, n. 3, p. 265-283.
- WRÓBLEWSKI, Jerzy (1985). Legal language and legal interpretation. *Law and Philosophy*, v. 4, p. 239-255.
- _____ (1989). Conceptions of justification in legal discourse. *Rivista Internazionale di Filosofia del Diritto*, IV / LXVI, p. 679-705.
- _____ (1992). *The Judicial Application of Law*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.