

## 6

### Construction of conformal radial perturbations

Choose any point  $p \in M$  and consider the totally geodesic ball  $\mathcal{B}_p(a + \delta)$  centered at  $p$  with radius  $a + \delta$ . It follows that the exponential map  $\exp_p$  restricted to  $B(a + \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a diffeomorphism over  $\mathcal{B}_p(a + \delta)$ .

Given  $v \in T_p^1 M$  consider the unitary geodesic  $\alpha_v(t) = \exp_p(tv)$ , where  $t \in [-a, a]$ . For each of these geodesics define

$$K_v(t) = K(\alpha_v(t), \alpha'_v(t)),$$

where  $K(\alpha_v(t), \alpha'_v(t))$  is the flag curvature of  $(M, F)$  at  $\alpha_v(t)$  with flagpole  $\alpha'_v(t)$ .

In order to define the perturbation consider

$$K_0 := \inf\{K_v(t) | v \in T_p^1 M, t \in [-a, a]\}$$

and, since we are inside a geodesic ball, all geodesic of  $\alpha_v$  type has no conjugate points in the interval  $[-a, a]$  we have that, by proposition 4.0.11, there exists  $\rho(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  there is an  $\alpha(\rho) > 0$  and a function  $K_{\epsilon, \alpha, \beta}^\rho$  such that the equation

$$x''(t) + K_{\epsilon, \alpha, \beta}^\rho x(t) = 0$$

has conjugate points on  $[-\rho, \rho]$ .

We will stretch out the Finsler metric  $F$  to obtain a new metric  $\bar{F}$  and prove the result for the metric. The metric  $\bar{F}$  is given by

$$\bar{F} = \frac{\rho}{a} F.$$

#### 6.1

##### Building the $\sigma$ function

For every geodesic  $\alpha_v$  consider the parallel vector field  $V$  along  $\alpha_v$  such that  $F(\alpha_v, V) = 1$  and  $\{\alpha'_v, V\}$  is a positive basis. If  $\bar{V}(t) = d(\exp_v^{-1})_{\alpha_v} V(t)$  then, by the Gauss lemma, there is a function  $\lambda_v(t)$  such that  $\bar{V}(t) = \lambda_v(t) \bar{V}_0$

where  $\bar{V}_0 \in T_p M$  is a unitary vector perpendicular to  $v$ . Set the constant  $\Lambda_0$  as

$$\Lambda_0 = \inf_{v \in T_p^1 M} \{|\lambda_v(t)|; t \in [-\rho, \rho]\}. \quad (6.1.1)$$

It follows that this constant is positive.

Here, we will also define a singular perturbation  $\sigma_0$  in the spirit of lemma 2.1.1 in chapter 2. The definition of both functions is very similar. So, we will do them together.

First, for  $i = 0, 1$ , define the functions  $\tilde{\sigma}_i : B(\rho + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i = -\frac{1}{2\Lambda_0^2} f_i \left( \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2} \right),$$

where

$$f_0(t) = \int_0^t \epsilon \lambda(s) ds - \epsilon \frac{(\rho + \frac{\delta}{2})^{1+\beta}}{(\beta + 1)},$$

$$\lambda_0(t) = \begin{cases} t^\beta, & t > 0; \\ -(-t)^\beta, & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds - \epsilon \frac{(\rho + \frac{\delta}{2})^{1+\beta}}{(\beta + 1)}, \\ \lambda(t) &= \epsilon \alpha^{\beta-1} \delta_\alpha(t) t + (1 - \delta_\alpha(t)) \lambda_0(t), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1.2)$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha(\epsilon)$  is given in lemma 4.1.6 and  $\delta_\alpha$  in (4.1.8).

Now, define the function  $\tilde{\sigma}_i : B(\rho + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i(x^1, x^2) = -\frac{1}{2\Lambda_0^2} f_i \left( \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2} \right). \quad (6.1.3)$$

We are ready to define the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  necessary to the main theorem.

Let  $\sigma_i^1 : \mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\sigma_i^1(q) = \tilde{\sigma}_i \left( (\exp_p)^{-1}(q) \right).$$

Define  $\sigma_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\sigma_i(q) = \begin{cases} \beta_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(q) \sigma_i^1(q) & \text{if } q \in \mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \delta); \\ 0 & \text{if } q \in M \setminus \mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \delta), \end{cases} \quad (6.1.4)$$

where  $\beta_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$  is a bump function which is 1 for  $q \in \mathcal{B}_p(\rho)$  and zero for  $q \in \mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \delta) \setminus \mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \frac{\delta}{2})$ .

To set notations, let

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma.$$

This is the smoothed version of the singular  $\sigma_0$ .

**Remark 6.1.1.** Recall that the exponential function is only  $C^1$  around the origin. In order to avoid this kind of problems here and keep the generality, the function  $\kappa$  is zero in a neighbourhood of zero and consequentially the function  $\sigma$  is also zero in a neighbourhood of the origin. So, the problems with differentiability of  $\sigma$  at  $p$  won't bother us.

**Lemma 6.1.2.**  $\|\sigma_0\|_{1,\beta} \rightarrow 0$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Outside the ball  $\mathcal{B}_p(\rho + \delta)$ ,  $\sigma_0$  is zero. Then the  $C^0$  of  $\sigma_0$  is at most

$$\frac{\epsilon(\rho + \delta)^{1+\beta}}{2(1 + \beta)\Lambda_0^2}.$$

The norm of  $\nabla\sigma_0$  satisfies

$$\|\nabla\sigma_0\| \leq \frac{\epsilon\rho^\beta h}{2(1 + \beta)\Lambda_0^2},$$

where  $h$  is constant, uniform on  $M$ , that relates the Riemannian metric  $g$  that our manifold is equipped and some Finsler  $F$  that we will use to make our construction. And finally, we have to analyse the  $\beta$ -Hölder norm of  $\nabla\sigma_0$ . The function  $l(t) = |t|^\beta$  is the prototype of  $\beta$ -Hölder function and has  $\|l\|_\beta \leq 2^{1-\beta}$  on the interval  $[-\rho - \delta, \rho + \delta]$ . Therefore,

$$\|\nabla\sigma_0\|_\beta \leq 2^{1-\beta} \frac{\epsilon^\beta h^\beta}{2^\beta(1 + \beta)^\beta \Lambda_0^{2\beta}}.$$

Since  $\rho$  is of order  $\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2+\beta}}$  we have that  $\epsilon\rho^{1+\beta} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon\rho^\beta \rightarrow 0$ . □