

APPENDIX A

Generalization with respect to second-stage problems

An essential part of the methodology proposed in this work – namely the linearization of the product of binary variables using the properties of the logarithm – lies on the piecewise linear approximation of the exponential function which may be represented in the optimization problem as linear constraints. However, if the objective function value of the optimal solution of a second stage problem is negative, the first stage problem clearly becomes unbounded and this would represent a limitation to the applicability of the concepts discussed afterwards.

This Appendix describes how to address this situation so that the methodology remains valid, regardless of the values of the optimal solutions of the second-stage problems. In summary, the next two sections discuss that a constant term may be added to the value of the optimal solution of all second stage problems without affecting the solution of the original problem.

A.1

Problems solved with full scenario enumeration

In the case where one is able to enumerate all the possible scenarios of network configuration, the objective function (3.12) may be re-written as follows:

$$\sum_{e \in E} r_e x_e + \sum_{s \in S} \bar{g}_s \hat{p}_s - \bar{g} \quad (9.1)$$

where $\bar{g}_s = g_s + \bar{g}$ and \bar{g} is such that $\bar{g}_s > 0, \forall s \in S$. Expression (9.1) is clearly equivalent to:

$$\sum_{e \in E} r_e x_e + \sum_{s \in S} g_s \hat{p}_s + \sum_{s \in S} \bar{g} \cdot \hat{p}_s - \bar{g} \quad (9.2)$$

On the one hand, the algebraic sum of the third and fourth terms of expression (9.2) will always amount to zero since $\sum_{s \in S} \hat{p}_s = 1$. On the other hand, the sum of the first and second terms above is the exact expression of the original objective function. The alternate objective function (9.1) assumes the exact same values as the original one (3.12) for all feasible values of the decision variables and, consequently, problem (3.12) – (3.17) is equivalent to problem (9.1) – (3.13) – (3.17).

A.2

Problems solved with a sample of scenarios

Following the methodology proposed in the thesis, large-scale problems are solved using the formulation (5.3) – (5.8). Using the same rationale as above, the objective function (5.3) may be re-written as:

$$\sum_{e \in E} r_e x_e + \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} \bar{g}_s \left(\frac{\hat{p}_s}{p_s^{INI}} \right) - \bar{g} \quad (9.3)$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\sum_{e \in E} r_e x_e + \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} g_s \left(\frac{\hat{p}_s}{p_s^{INI}} \right) + \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} \bar{g} \left(\frac{\hat{p}_s}{p_s^{INI}} \right) - \bar{g} \quad (9.4)$$

If we denote by D the set of distinct scenarios in the sample and by n_d the number of occurrences of each one of them, the third term may be written as:

$$\bar{g} \sum_{d \in D} \frac{n_d}{|S|} \left(\frac{\hat{p}_d}{p_d^{INI}} \right) \quad (9.5)$$

As $|S| \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{n_d}{|S|} \rightarrow p_d^{INI}$ and expression (9.5) converges to $\bar{g} \cdot \sum_{d \in D} \hat{p}_d$. Since $\sum_{d \in D} \hat{p}_d \rightarrow 1$, the result is analogous to that obtained in the previous Section.

APPENDIX B

Solution robustness

The solution of two-stage stochastic programs depends, essentially, on balancing the trade-off between deterministic first-stage costs and the expected value of probabilistic second-stage costs. It is thus imperative that we have a reasonable estimate of second stage costs in order to be able to have confidence in the quality of the solution obtained.

On the one hand, the larger the set of sampled scenarios, the better the estimate of second stage costs will be. On the other hand, having fewer scenarios makes the problem smaller and solution times are usually faster. Anyhow, once a solution is found for a given set of scenarios, a Monte Carlo simulation – in which the probability distribution of the edges' availabilities takes into account the determined first-stage decisions – may then provide a confidence interval against which the estimate of the expected costs of the second-stage can be compared in order to assess the need for a larger number of samples. This suggests the following algorithm, detailed below:

1	Initialize the set of cuts $K = \emptyset$, define the maximum percentage error ε and the confidence level for the estimator of the mean second stage costs (expressed in terms of the number of standard deviations θ)
2	Initialize the lower bound $LB = -inf$, upper bound $UB = +inf$
3	While $\hat{\mu}_S \notin \{\hat{\mu}_M - \theta \cdot \sigma_{\hat{\mu}_M}, \hat{\mu}_M + \theta \cdot \sigma_{\hat{\mu}_M}\}$ Generate a new sample S_{aux} of network configuration scenarios based on the initial probability distribution of the edges' availabilities For each scenario $s \in S_{aux}$ Solve problem (3.1) – (3.4) and obtain the corresponding value g_s End For
4	Set $S = S \cup S_{aux}$
5	While $ (UB - LB)/UB > \varepsilon$
6	Solve problem P_3 defined by (5.3) – (5.18) with the currently defined set of cuts K and scenario sample set S

7	Set $LB = v(P_3)$
8	Set $UB_{aux} = \sum_{e \in E} r_e x_e^* + \frac{1}{ S } \sum_{s \in S} g_s \left(\frac{\exp(w_s^*)}{p_s^{INI}} \right)$
9	If $UB_{aux} < UB$, set $UB = UB_{aux}$
10	For each scenario $s \in S$
11	Add the cut defined by $\alpha_k = \exp(w_s^*) \cdot (1 - w_s^*)$ and $\beta_k = \exp(w_s^*)$ to the cut set K
12	End For
13	End While
14	Compute $\hat{\mu}_S = \frac{1}{ S } \sum_{s \in S} g_s \left(\frac{\exp(w_s^*)}{p_s^{INI}} \right)$
15	Generate a sample M ($ M \gg S $) of network configuration scenarios based on the probability distribution of the edges' availabilities which results from the determined first stage decisions
16	For each scenario $m \in M$
17	Solve problem (3.1) – (3.4) and obtain the corresponding value g_m
18	End For
19	Obtain the estimator of the mean of second stage costs and its standard deviation: $\hat{\mu}_M$ and $\sigma_{\hat{\mu}_M}$, respectively
20	End While

REFERENCES

- [1] Adams, W.P., Forrester, R.J., “A simple recipe for concise mixed 0-1 linearizations”, *Operations Research Letters* 33 (1), pp. 55–61, 2005.
- [2] Ahmed, S., “Strategic planning under uncertainty: Stochastic integer programming approaches”, PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2000.
- [3] Al-qurashi, F., “New vision of emergency response planning”, *Process Safety Progress* 23 (1), 56–61, 2004.
- [4] Balas, E., Mazzola, J.B., “Nonlinear 0–1 programming: 1. linearization techniques”, *Mathematical Programming* 30, pp. 1–21, 1984.
- [5] Balas, E., Mazzola, J.B., “Nonlinear 0–1 programming: 2. dominance relations and algorithms”, *Mathematical Programming* 30, pp. 22–45, 1984.
- [6] Bana e Costa, C., Oliveira, C., Vieira, V., “Prioritization of bridges and tunnels in earthquake risk mitigation using multicriteria decision analysis – application to Lisbon”, *Omega*, Special Issue on Multiple Criteria Decision Making for Engineering, Vol. 36, Issue 3, pp. 442-450, 2008.
- [7] Basoz, N., Kiremidjian, A., “Prioritization of Bridges for Seismic Retrofitting”, Buffalo, NY, U.S. National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, Technical Report NCEER, 95-0007, 150 p, 1995.
- [8] Beale, E. M. L., “On minimizing a convex function subject to linear inequalities”, *Journal of the Royal Statistics Society Series B* 17, pp. 173–184, 1955.
- [9] Ben-Tal, A., Nemirovski, A., “Robust convex optimization”, *Mathematics of Operations Research* 23, pp. 769–805, 1998.
- [10] Ben-Tal, A. and Nemirovski, A., “On the quality of SDP approximations of uncertain SDP programs”, Research Report #4/98, Optimization Laboratory, Faculty of Industrial Engineering and Management, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel, 1998.
- [11] Ben-Tal, A. and Nemirovski, A., “Robust solutions to uncertain programs”, *Oper. Res. Letters*, 25, 1-13, 1999.

- [12] Ben-Tal, A. and Nemirovski, A., “Robust solutions of Linear Programming problems contaminated with uncertain data”, *Mathematical Programming*, 88, pp. 411-424, 2000.
- [13] Bertsimas, D., M. Sim., “Robust discrete optimization and network flows”, *Mathematical Programming* 98, pp. 49–71, 2003.
- [14] Bertsimas, D., Sim, M., Pachamanova, D. “Robust Linear Optimization under General Norms”, *Operations Research Letters*, 32, 510-516, 2004.
- [15] Bertsimas, D., Sim, M. “The price of Robustness”, *Operations Research*, 52, 1, pp. 35-53, 2004.
- [16] Birge, J., Louveaux, F., “Introduction to Stochastic Programming”, *Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering*, 1997.
- [17] Chang, C.-T., “Efficient linearization approach for mixed-integer problems”, *European Journal of Operational Research* 123 (3), pp. 652–659, 2000.
- [18] Chang, C.-T., Chang, C.-C., “A linearization method for mixed 0-1 polynomial programs”, *Computers & Operations Research* 27 (10), pp. 1005–1016, 2000.
- [19] Cooper, J.D., Friedland, I.M., Buckle, I.G., Nimis, R.B. and Bobb, N.M., “The Northridge Earthquake: Progress Made, Lessons Learned and Seismic-Resistant Bridge Design”, *Public Roads* 58 (1), 1994.
- [20] Dantzig, G. B. “Linear programming under uncertainty”, *Management Science* 1, pp. 197–206, 1955.
- [21] Dupacová, J., Hurt, J. and Stepán, J., “Stochastic Modeling in Economics and Finance”, *Applied Optimization* Vol. 75, Kluwer, 2002.
- [22] El-Ghaoui, L. and Lebret, H., “Robust solutions to least-square problems to uncertain data matrices”, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 18, pp. 1035-1064, 1997.
- [23] El-Ghaoui, L., Oustry, F. and Lebret, H., “Robust solutions to uncertain semidefinite programs”, *SIAM Journal of Optimization* 9, pp. 33-52, 1998.
- [24] Fan, Y., Liu, C., “Solving Stochastic Transportation Network Protection Problems Using the Progressive Hedging-based Method”, *Networks and Spatial Economics*, Vol. 10, Number 2, pp. 193-208, 2010.

- [25] Fiedrich, F., Gehbauer, F., Rickers, U., “Optimized resource allocation for emergency response after earthquake disasters”, *Safety Science* 35 (1), pp. 41–57, 2000.
- [26] Gaivoronski, A., “Stochastic Optimization Problems in Telecommunications”, in *Applications of Stochastic Programming*, MPS-SIAM Book Series on Optimization 5, Chapter 32, Edited by S.W.Wallace and W.T.Ziemba, 2005.
- [27] Geoffrion, A.M., “Lagrangian Relaxation for Integer Programming”, *Mathematical Programming Study* 2, pp. 82-114, 1974.
- [28] Globo Newspaper, “Haitianos revoltados com demora na entrega de ajuda bloqueiam estradas com corpos após terremoto”, <http://oglobo.globo.com/mundo/mat/2010/01/15/haitianos-revoltados-com-demora-na-entrega-de-ajuda-bloqueiam-estradas-com-corpos-apos-terremoto-915533088.asp>, 2010.
- [29] Glover, F., “Improved linear integer programming formulations of nonlinear integer problems”, *Management Science* 22, pp. 455–460, 1975.
- [30] Goel, V., Grossmann, I. E., “A stochastic programming approach to planning of offshore gas field developments under uncertainty in reserves”, *Computers and Chemical Engineering* 28 (8), pp. 1409–1429, 1974.
- [31] Goel, V., Grossmann, I. E., “A Class of Stochastic Programs with Decision Dependent Uncertainty”, *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 2006.
- [32] Gueye, S., Michelon, P., “Miniaturized linearizations for quadratic 0–1 problems”, *Annals of Operations Research* 140, pp. 235–261, 2005.
- [33] Haneveld, W.K., van der Vlerk, M., “Stochastic Programming Lecture Notes”, 2005.
- [34] Hansen, P., Meyer, C., “Improved compact linearizations for the unconstrained quadratic 0–1 minimization problem”, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 157, pp. 1267–1290, 2009.
- [35] Heitsch, H., Römis, W., “Scenario tree modelling for multistage stochastic programs”, Preprint 296, DFG Research Center Matheon, 2005.
- [36] Held, H., Woodruff, D. L., “Heuristics for multi-stage interdiction of stochastic networks”, *Journal of Heuristics*, Vol. 11, pp. 483-500, 2005.

- [37] Hochreiter, R., Pflug, G., “Financial scenario generation for stochastic multi-stage decision processes as facility location problem”, *Annals of Operations Research*, Volume 152, Number 1, pp. 257-272, 2007.
- [38] Houming, F., Tong, Z., Xiaoyan, Z., Mingbao, J., Guosong, D., “Research on emergency relief goods distribution after regional natural disaster occurring”, *International Conference on Information Management and Industrial Engineering*, 2008.
- [39] Jonsbraten, T. W., Wets, R. J. B., Woodruff, D. L., “A class of stochastic programs with decision dependent random elements”, *Annals of Operations Research* 82, pp. 83–106, 1998.
- [40] Kall, P., Wallace, S., “*Stochastic Programming*”, Wiley, 1994.
- [41] Kaut, M., Wallace, S., “Evaluation of scenario-generation methods for stochastic programming”, *Pacific Journal of Optimization*, 3 (2), pp. 257-271, 2007.
- [42] Kaut, M., Wallace, S., Hoyland, K., “A Heuristic for Moment-matching Scenario Generation”, *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 24 (2-3), pp. 169-185, 2003.
- [43] Liu, C., Fan, Y., Ordonez, F., “A Two-Stage Stochastic Programming Model for Transportation Network Protection”, Working Paper, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California at Davis, 2006.
- [44] Oral, M., Kettani, O., “Equivalent formulations of nonlinear integer problems for efficient optimization”, *Management Science* 36 (1), pp. 115–119, 1990.
- [45] Oral, M., Kettani, O., “A linearization procedure for quadratic and cubic mixed-integer problems”, *Operations Research* 40 (Supp. 1), pp. 109–116, 1992.
- [46] Parentela, E., Nambisan, S., “Emergency response (disaster management). *Urban Planning and Development Applications of GIS*, 181–196, 2000.
- [47] Plambeck, E.L., Fu, B-R, Robinson S.M., Suri, R., “Sample-path optimization of convex stochastic performance functions”, *Mathematical Programming* 75, pp. 137–176, 1996.

- [48] Pflug, G., “On-line optimization of simulated markovian processes”, *Mathematics of Operations Research* 15, No.3, pp. 381-395, 1990.
- [49] Poojari, C.A., Lucas, C. and Mitra, G., “Robust solution and risk measures for a supply chain planning problem under uncertainty”, *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 59, pp. 2–12, 2006.
- [50] Römisch, W., “Scenario generation in stochastic programming”, *Wiley Encyclopedia of Operations Research and Management Science*, 2009.
- [51] Rubinstein, B. Y., “Simulation and the Monte Carlo Method” New York, Wiley & Sons, 1981.
- [52] Ruszczyński, A., Shapiro, A., “Stochastic Programming”, *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science*, Vol. 10, Elsevier, 2003.
- [53] Santoso, T., Ahmed, S., Goetschalckx, M. and Shapiro, A., “A stochastic programming approach for supply chain network design under uncertainty”, *European Journal of Operational Research* 167, pp.96–115, 2005.
- [54] Senay, S., “Efficient Solution Procedures for Multistage Stochastic Formulations of Two Problem Classes”, PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 2007.
- [55] Shapiro, A., Dentcheva, D., Ruszczyński, A., “Lectures on Stochastic Programming”, SIAM, 2009.
- [56] Sherali, H.D., Desai, J., Glickman, T.S., “Allocating emergency response resources to minimize risk with equity considerations”, *American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences* 24 (3–4), 367–410, 2004.
- [57] Sheu, J.-B., “An emergency logistics distribution approach for quick response to urgent relief demand in disasters”, *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 43 (6), 2007.
- [58] Sohn, J., “Evaluating the significance of highway network links under the flood damage: An accessibility approach”, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, Volume 40, Issue 6, July 2006, pp. 491-506, 2006.
- [59] Sohn, J. Kim, J., Hewings, G., Lee, J., Jong, S-G., “Retrofit Priority of Transport Network Links under an Earthquake”, *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, Vol. 129, No. 4, December 2003, pp. 195-210, 2003.

- [60] Soyster, A.L., “Convex programming with set-inclusive constraints and applications to inexact linear programming”, *Operations Research* 21, pp. 1154–1157, 1973.
- [61] Talluri, K., van Ryzin, G., “Revenue Management Under a General Discrete Choice Model of Consumer Behavior”, *Management Science* 50, No. 1, pp. 15-33, 2004.
- [62] Train, K. “Discrete Choice Models with Simulation”, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [63] Tsai, Y., Wang, Z., Yang, C., “A prototype real-time GPS/GIS-based emergency response system for locating and dispatching moving patrol vehicles with the beat-based shortest distance search”, *Traffic and Transportation Studies Proceedings of ICTTS 2*, 1361–1368, 2002.
- [64] Tomasini, R., Van Wassenhove, L., “Humanitarian Logistics”, Palgrave Macmillan, 2009.
- [65] van der Vlerk, M. “Stochastic Programming Bibliography” World Wide Web, <http://mally.eco.rug.nl/spbib.html>, 1996-2007.
- [66] Viswanath, K., Peeta, S., Salman, S., “Investing in the links of a stochastic network to minimize expected shortest path length”, *Purdue University Economics Working Papers*, 2004.
- [67] Wallace, S., “Investing in arcs in a network to maximize the expected max flow”, *Networks*, Volume 17 Issue 1, pp. 87 – 103, 1987.
- [68] Wallace, S.W. and Fleten, S.E., “Stochastic Programming Models in Energy”, *Handbooks in OR & MS*, Vol.10, Chapter 10, Eds. Ruszczyński, A. and Shapiro, A., Elsevier Science, 2003.
- [69] Wollmer, R., “Investment in stochastic minimum cost generalized multicommodity networks with application to coal transport”, *Networks*, Volume 10 Issue 4, pp. 351 – 362, 1980.
- [70] Wollmer, R., “Investments in stochastic maximum flow networks”, *Annals of Operations Research*, Volume 31, Number 1, pp. 457-467, 1991.