References

ADACHI, J. *ET AL*. **Computer simulation of hydraulic fractures**, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 44(5), pp. 739–757. 2007.

ADACHI, J. I. AND DETOURNAY, E. Plane strain propagation of a hydraulic fracture in a permeable rock, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. Pergamon., 75(16), pp. 4666–4694. 2008.

AGARWAL, K. AND SHARMA, M. M. Modeling Fracture Propagation in Unconsolidated Sands, 45th US Rock Mechanics/Geomechanics Symposium2011.

AREIAS, P. M. A. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. A comment on the article 'A finite element method for simulation of strong and weak discontinuities in solid mechanics' by A. Hansbo and P. Hansbo [Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 193 (2004) 3523-3540], Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 195(9–12), pp. 1275–1276. 2006.

BAZANT, Z. P. AND PLANES, J. Fracture and size effect in concrete and other quasibrittle materials. CRC Press. 1998.

BELYTSCHKO, T. *ET AL.* Arbitrary discontinuities in finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 50(4), pp. 993–1013. 2001.

BELYTSCHKO, T. AND BLACK, T. Elastic crack growth in finite elements with minimal remeshing, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 45(5), pp. 601–620. 1999.

BENDEZU, M. A. L. *ET AL*. Finite element modeling of the hydraulic fracture problem in impermeable media using a cohesive zone model, in Proceedings of the XXXIV Iberian Latin-American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering2013.

BENZLEY, S. E. Representation of singularities with isoparametric finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 8(3), pp. 537–545. 1974. BLANTON, T. An Experimental Study of Interaction Between Hydraulically Induced and Pre-Existing Fractures, Proceedings of SPE Unconventional Gas Recovery Symposium, pp. 559–571. 1982.

DE BORST, R., REMMERS, J. J. C. AND NEEDLEMAN, A. Mesh-independent discrete numerical representations of cohesive-zone models, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, pp. 160–177. 2006.

DE BORST, R., RÉTHORÉ, J. AND ABELLAN, M.-A. A Numerical Approach for Arbitrary Cracks in a Fluid-Saturated Medium, Archive of Applied Mechanics. Springer-Verlag., 75(10–12), pp. 595–606. 2006.

BUDYN, É. *ET AL*. **A method for multiple crack growth in brittle materials without remeshing**, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. , 61(10), pp. 1741–1770. 2004.

BUNGER, A. P., DETOURNAY, E. AND GARAGASH, D. I. **Toughness-dominated hydraulic fracture with leak-off**, International Journal of Fracture. Kluwer Academic Publishers. , 134(2), pp. 175–190. 2005.

BUSETTI, S., MISH, K. AND RECHES, Z. Damage and plastic deformation of reservoir rocks: Part 1. damage fracturing, AAPG Bulletin, 96(9), pp. 1687–1709. 2012.

CARRIER, B. AND GRANET, S. Numerical modeling of hydraulic fracture problem in permeable medium using cohesive zone model, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 79, pp. 312–328. 2012.

CARTER, B. J. *ET AL*. **Simulating fully 3D hydraulic fracturing**, in Modelling in geomechanics, pp. 526–556. 2000.

CARTER, B. J., INGRAFFEA, A. R. AND ENGELDER, T. Modeling Ithaca's natural hydraulic fractures 2000.

CARVALHO, M. T. M. DE, MARTHA, L. F. AND FILHO, W. C. Pos3D1997.

CHEN, Y. Z. AND LIN, X. Y. Numerical solution for the T-stress in branch crack problem with infinitesimal branch length, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 77(13), pp. 2593–2600. 2010.

CHEN, Z. *ET AL*. Cohesive zone finite element-based modeling of hydraulic fractures, Acta Mechanica Solida Sinica, 22(5), pp. 443–452. 2009.

CHEN, Z. An ABAQUS Implementation of the XFEM for Hydraulic Fracture Problems, International Conference for Effective and Sustainable Hydraulic Fracturing, pp. 725–739. 2013. CHENG, W., JIN, Y., CHEN, M., *ET AL*. A criterion for identifying hydraulic fractures crossing natural fractures in 3D space, Petroleum Exploration and Development. Research Institute of Petroleum Exploration & Development, PetroChina., 41(3), pp. 371–376. 2014.

CHENG, W., JIN, Y., CHEN, Y., *ET AL*. Experimental Investigation about Influence of Natural Fracture on Hydraulic Fracture Propagation under Different Fracturing Parameters, in 8th Asian Rock Mechanics Symposium, pp. 1712–1718. 2014.

CLIFTON, R. J. AND ABOU-SAYED, A. S. A Variational Approach To The Prediction Of The Three-Dimensional Geometry Of Hydraulic Fractures, in SPE/DOE Low Permeability Gas Reservoirs Symposium. Society of Petroleum Engineers. 1981.

COHEN, C. E., WENG, X. AND KRESSE, O. Influence of fracturing fluid and reservoir temperature on production for complex hydraulic fracture network in shale gas reservoir, Society of Petroleum Engineers - Asia Pacific Unconventional Resources Conference and Exhibition 2013: Delivering Abundant Energy for a Sustainable Future, 2(2012), pp. 922–941. 2013.

COMI, C. AND MARIANI, S. Extended finite element simulation of quasibrittle fracture in functionally graded materials, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 196(41–44), pp. 4013–4026. 2007.

Cox, J. V. An extended finite element method with analytical enrichment for cohesive crack modeling, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 78(1), pp. 48–83. 2009.

CROUCH, S. L. AND STARFIELD, A. M. Boundary Element Methods in Solid Mechanics. With Applications in Rock Mechanics. Allen & Unwin. 1983.

DAHI-TALEGHANI, A. AND OLSON, J. E. Numerical Modeling of Multistranded-Hydraulic-Fracture Propagation: Accounting for the Interaction Between Induced and Natural Fractures, SPE Journal, 16(3), pp. 575–581. 2011.

DAMJANAC, B. *ET AL*. Three-Dimensional Numerical Model of Hydraulic Fracturing in Fractured Rock Masses, in Effective and Sustainable Hydraulic Fracturing. InTech. 2013.

DANESHY, A. A. Hydraulic Fracture Propagation in the Presence of Planes of Weakness, SPE European Spring Meeting. Society of Petroleum Engineers., pp. 1–5. 1974.

DAS, K. C. Enriched finite element method and Applications in reinforced jointed rock mass. Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur2013.

DAS, K. C., SANDHA, S. S. AND NARANG, A. **XFEM Formulation of Geomechanics Problems with Multiple Intersecting Discontinuities**, in 10th Biennial International Conference & Exposition2013.

DAUX, C., MOES, N. AND DOLBOW, J. Arbitrary branched and intersecting cracks with the extended finite element method, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 48(12), pp. 1741–1760. 2000.

DESROCHES, J. *ET AL.* On the modelling of near tip processes in hydraulic fractures, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and, 30(7), pp. 1127–1134. 1993.

DETOURNAY, E. **Propagation Regimes of Fluid-Driven Fractures in Impermeable Rocks**, International Journal of Geomechanics, 4(1), pp. 35–45. 2004.

DETOURNAY, E. AND GARAGASH, D. I. **The near-tip region of a fluid-driven fracture propagating in a permeable elastic solid**, Journal of Fluid Mechanics. Cambridge University Press. , 494, pp. 1–32. 2003.

DOLBOW, J., MOËS, N. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. An extended finite element method for modeling crack growth with frictional contact, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 190(51–52), pp. 6825–6846. 2001.

DONG, C. Y. AND DE PATER, C. J. Numerical implementation of displacement discontinuity method and its application in hydraulic fracturing, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 191(8–10), pp. 745–760. 2001.

DUARTE, C. A., RENO, L. G. AND SIMONE, A. A high-order generalized FEM for through-the-thickness branched cracks, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 72(3), pp. 325–351. 2007.

DYSKINA, A. V. AND CABALLERO, A. Orthogonal crack approaching an interface, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. Pergamon., 76(16), pp. 2476–2485. 2009.

ELGUEDJ, T., GRAVOUIL, A. AND COMBESCURE, A. A mixed augmented Lagrangian-extended finite element method for modelling elastic-plastic fatigue crack growth with unilateral contact, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 71(13), pp. 1569–1597. 2007.

ESTERHUIZEN, G. S. Extending empirical evidence through numerical modelling in rock engineering design, Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 114(10), pp. 755–764. 2014.

FJAER, E. Petroleum related rock mechanics. Elsevier. 2008.

FRIES, T.-P. AND BAYDOUN, M. Crack propagation with the extended finite element method and a hybrid explicit-implicit crack description, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 89(12), pp. 1527–1558. 2012.

FRIES, T.-P. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. **The extended/generalized finite element method: An overview of the method and its applications**, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 84(3), p. n/a-n/a. 2010.

FRIES, T. P. A corrected XFEM approximation without problems in blending elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 75(5), pp. 503–532. 2008.

GEERTSMA, J. AND DE KLERK, F. A Rapid Method of Predicting Width and Extent of Hydraulically Induced Fractures, Journal of Petroleum Technology. Society of Petroleum Engineers. , 21(12), pp. 1571–1581. 1969.

GINER, E. *ET AL*. An Abaqus implementation of the extended finite element method, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. Elsevier Ltd., 76(3), pp. 347–368. 2009.

GRACIE, R. AND CRAIG. JAMES R. Modelling well leakage in multilayer aquifer systems using the extended finite element method, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design. Elsevier., 46(6), pp. 504–513. 2010.

GRAVOUIL, A., PIERRES, E. AND BAIETTO, M. C. **Stabilized global-local X-FEM for 3D non-planar frictional crack using relevant meshes**, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 88(13), pp. 1449–1475. 2011.

GRAZINA, J. C. D. Modelação dinâmica com acoplamento viscoso de maciços elastoplásticos. Aplicação a estruturas de suporte flexíveis submetidas a acções sísmicas. Universidade de Coimbra2009.

GU, H. *ET AL.* Hydraulic Fracture Crossing Natural Fracture at Nonorthogonal Angles: A Criterion and Its Validation, SPE Production & Operations, 27(1), pp. 20–26. 2012.

HAMIDI, F. AND MORTAZAVI, A. A new three dimensional approach to numerically model hydraulic fracturing process, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 124, pp. 451–467. 2014.

HANSBO, A. AND HANSBO, P. A finite element method for the simulation of strong and weak discontinuities in solid mechanics, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 193(33–35), pp. 3523–3540. 2004.

HANSON, M. E., SHAFFER, R. J. AND ANDERSON, G. D. Effects of Various **Parameters on Hydraulic Fracturing Geometry**, Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 21(4), pp. 435–443. 1981.

HIRMAND, M., VAHAB, M. AND KHOEI, A. R. An augmented Lagrangian contact formulation for frictional discontinuities with the extended finite element method, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design. Elsevier., 107, pp. 28–43. 2015.

HU, Y. *ET AL.* Simulation of hydraulic fracturing in rock mass using a smeared crack model, Computers & Structures, 137, pp. 72–77. 2014.

HUANG, H. *ET AL.* On the use of enriched finite element method to model subsurface features in porous media flow problems, Computational Geosciences. Springer Netherlands. , 15(4), pp. 721–736. 2011.

JI, H., CHOPP, D. AND DOLBOW, J. E. A hybrid extended finite element/level set method for modeling phase transformations, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 54(8), pp. 1209–1233. 2002.

JIAO, J. AND QIAO, C. Elasto-plastic analysis of jointed rock masses using the numerical manifold method, in Boundaries of Rock Mechanics. Taylor & Francis., pp. 83–88. 2008.

KARFAKIS, M. G. AND AKRAM, M. Effects of chemical solutions on rock fracturing, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and. Pergamon., 30(7), pp. 1253–1259. 1993.

KESHAVARZI, R. AND JAHANBAKHSHI, R. Investigation of Hydraulic and Natural Fracture Interaction: Numerical Modeling or Artificial Intelligence?, Effective and Sustainable Hydraulic Fracturing. InTech., pp. 1039–1058. 2013.

KESHAVARZI, R., MOHAMMADI, S. AND BAYESTEH, H. **Hydraulic Fracture Propagation in Unconventional Reservoirs : The Role of Natural fractures**, 46th US Rock Mechanics Geomechanics Symposium, pp. 1–8, NaN-129. 2012. KHOEI, A. R. Extended Finite Element Method Theory and Applications 2008.

KHOEI, A. R. *ET AL.* A mesh-independent finite element formulation for modeling crack growth in saturated porous media based on an enriched-FEM technique, International Journal of Fracture, 188(1), pp. 79–108. 2014.

KHOEI, A. R. *ET AL.* An enriched FEM technique for modeling hydraulically driven cohesive fracture propagation in impermeable media with frictional natural faults: Numerical and experimental investigations, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 104(6), pp. 439–468. 2015.

KHOEI, A. R. AND NIKBAKHT, M. Contact friction modeling with the extended finite element method (X-FEM), Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 177(1–3), pp. 58–62. 2006.

KHOEI, A. R. AND TAHERI MOUSAVI, S. M. Modeling of large deformation -Large sliding contact via the penalty X-FEM technique, Computational Materials Science. Elsevier., 48(3), pp. 471–480. 2010.

KHOEI, A. R., VAHAB, M. AND HIRMAND, M. Modeling the interaction between fluid-driven fracture and natural fault using an enriched-FEM technique, International Journal of Fracture, 197(1), pp. 1–24. 2016.

KHRISTIANOVIC, S. A. AND ZHELTOV, Y. P. Formation of Vertical Fractures by Means of Highly Viscous Liquid, Proceeding of the 4th World Petroleum Congress. World Petroleum Congress. , 5, pp. 579–586. 1955.

KRESSE, O. *ET AL.* Numerical Modeling of Hydraulic Fracturing In Naturally Fractured Formations, Hydraulic Fracturing Quarterly. American Rock Mechanics Association., 1(1), pp. 61–68. 2014.

LAMB, A. R., GORMAN, G. J. AND ELSWORTH, D. A fracture mapping and extended finite element scheme for coupled deformation and fluid flow in fractured porous media, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 37(17), pp. 2916–2936. 2013.

LAMONT, N. AND JESSEN, F. W. The Effects of Existing Fractures in Rocks on the Extension of Hydraulic Fractures, Journal of Petroleum Technology, 15(2), pp. 203–209. 1963.

LECAMPION, B. An extended finite element method for hydraulic fracture problems, Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley &

Sons, Ltd., 25(2), pp. 121–133. 2009.

LEE, S.-H. *ET AL.* Combined extended and superimposed finite element method for cracks, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 59(8), pp. 1119–1136. 2004.

LENOACH, B. The crack tip solution for hydraulic fracturing in a permeable solid, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids. Pergamon., 43(7), pp. 1025–1043. 1995.

LI, L. C. *ET AL*. Numerical simulation of 3D hydraulic fracturing based on an improved flow-stress-damage model and a parallel FEM technique, Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 45(5), pp. 801–818. 2012.

LI, Q. *ET AL.* **A review on hydraulic fracturing of unconventional reservoir**, Petroleum. Elsevier Ltd. , 1(1), pp. 8–15. 2015.

LIU, F. AND BORJA, R. I. A contact algorithm for frictional crack propagation with the extended finite element method, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 76(10), pp. 1489–1512. 2008.

MARIANI, S. AND PEREGO, U. **Extended finite element method for quasibrittle fracture**, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 58(1), pp. 103–126. 2003.

MELENK, J. M. AND BABUŠKA, I. The partition of unity finite element method: basic theory and applications, Research report / Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik. Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik., 1996(1)1996.

MENDES, C. A. T., GATTASS, M. AND ROEHL, D. **The GeMA framework – An innovative framework for the development of multiphysics and multiscale simulations**, in VII European Congress on Computational Methods in Applied Sciences and Engineering, pp. 5–10. 2016.

MERGHEIM, J., KUHL, E. AND STEINMANN, P. A finite element method for the computational modelling of cohesive cracks, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 63(2), pp. 276–289. 2005.

MEYER, B. R. Three-Dimensional Hydraulic Fracturing Simulation on Personal Computers: Theory and Comparison Studies, in SPE Eastern Regional Meeting. Society of Petroleum Engineers. 1989.

MIRANDA, A. C. DE O. AND MARTHA, L. F. Hierarchical template-based

quadrilateral mesh generation, Engineering with Computers. Springer London., 33(4), pp. 701–715. 2017.

MOËS, N. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. Extended finite element method for cohesive crack growth, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 69(7), pp. 813–833. 2002.

MOES, N. AND DOLBOW, J. A finite element method for crack growth without remeshing, Int. J. Numer., 150(February), pp. 131–150. 1999.

MOHAMMADNEJAD, T. AND ANDRADE, J. E. Numerical modeling of hydraulic fracture propagation, closure and reopening using XFEM with application to in-situ stress estimation, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 40(15), pp. 2033–2060. 2016.

MOHAMMADNEJAD, T. AND KHOEI, A. R. Hydro-mechanical modeling of cohesive crack propagation in multiphase porous media using the extended finite element method, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 37(10), pp. 1247–1279. 2013.

MOUGAARD, J. F., POULSEN, P. N. AND NIELSEN, L. O. An enhanced cohesive crack element for XFEM using a double enriched displacement field, 6th International Conference on Fracture Mechanics of Concrete and Concrete Structures, pp. 139–146. 2007.

MURPHY, H. D. AND FEHLER, M. C. Hydraulic Fracturing of Jointed Formations, in International Meeting on Petroleum Engineering. Society of Petroleum Engineers. 1986.

NAGEL, N. *ET AL*. Simulating Hydraulic Fracturing in Real Fractured Rocks-Overcoming the Limits of Pseudo3D Models, SPE Hydraulic Fracturing Technology Conference,24-26 January, The Woodlands, Texas, (2010)2011.

NISTOR, I. *ET AL.* An X-FEM approach for large sliding contact along discontinuities, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 78(12), pp. 1407–1435. 2009.

NORDGREN, R. P. **Propagation of a Vertical Hydraulic Fracture**, Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal. Society of Petroleum Engineers. , 12(4), pp. 306–314. 1972.

PAPANASTASIOU, P. The effective fracture toughness in hydraulic fracturing, International Journal of Fracture, 96(2), pp. 127–147. 1999.

PERKINS, T. K. AND KERN, L. R. Widths of Hydraulic Fractures, Journal of

Petroleum Technology. Society of Petroleum Engineers. , 13(9), pp. 937–949. 1961.

POTTS, D. M. AND ZDRAVKOVIĆ, L. Finite element analysis in geotechnical engineering : theory. Telford. 1999.

RAHIM, Z. *ET AL*. Improved Gas Recovery - 2 (Conclusion): Productivity increase using hydraulic fracturing—expectation vs. reality.2012.

REMIJ, E. W. *ET AL*. The enhanced local pressure model for the accurate analysis of fluid pressure driven fracture in porous materials, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering. Elsevier B.V., 286, pp. 293–312. 2015.

RENSHAW, C. E. AND POLLARD, D. D. An experimentally verifed criterion for propagation acoss unbounded frictional interface in brittle, linear elastic materials, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech., 32(3), pp. 237–249. 1995.

RÉTHORÉ, J., BORST, R. DE AND ABELLAN, M.-A. A two-scale approach for fluid flow in fractured porous media, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 71(7), pp. 780–800. 2006.

RÉTHORÉ, J., DE BORST, R. AND ABELLAN, M.-A. A discrete model for the dynamic propagation of shear bands in a fluid-saturated medium, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 31(2), pp. 347–370. 2007.

RUEDA, J. C. *ET AL*. Numerical models for detection of fault reactivation in oil and gas fields, American Rock Mechanics Association, (ARMA 14-7354)2014.

SALIMZADEH, S. AND KHALILI, N. A three-phase XFEM model for hydraulic fracturing with cohesive crack propagation, Computers and Geotechnics. Elsevier Ltd., 69, pp. 82–92. 2015.

SEGURA, J. M. AND CAROL, I. **On zero-thickness interface elements for diffusion problems**, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 28(9), pp. 947–962. 2004.

SETHIAN, J. A. A fast marching level set method for monotonically advancing fronts., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. National Academy of Sciences., 93(4), pp. 1591–5. 1996.

SETTARI, A. Quantitative Analysis of Factors Influencing Vertical and Lateral Fracture Growth, SPE Production Engineering. Society of Petroleum Engineers., 3(3), pp. 310–322. 1988.

SHENG, M. *ET AL.* Enriched finite elements for branching cracks in deformable porous media, Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements. Elsevier., 50, pp. 435–446. 2015.

SHIMIZU, H., MURATA, S. AND ISHIDA, T. **The distinct element analysis for hydraulic fracturing in hard rock considering fluid viscosity and particle size distribution**, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 48(5), pp. 712–727. 2011.

SHOJAEI, A., DAHI TALEGHANI, A. AND LI, G. A continuum damage failure model for hydraulic fracturing of porous rocks, International Journal of Plasticity. Elsevier Ltd., 59, pp. 199–212. 2014.

SIAVELIS, M. *ET AL*. Large sliding contact along branched discontinuities with X-FEM, Computational Mechanics, 52(1), pp. 201–219. 2013.

SILVA, P. A. B. V. DA **Uma Implementação do Método Estendido dos Elementos Finitos para Análise de Propagação de Fraturas Bidimensionais**, p. 105. 2015.

SILVESTRE, J. R. *ET AL*. Modelling of coupled fluid-mechanical problems in fractured geological media using enriched finite elements, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 39(10), pp. 1104–1140. 2015.

SIMULIA Abaqus v6.14, Abaqus Documentation. Providence, RI, USA2014.

SNEDDON, I. N. **The Distribution of Stress in the Neighbourhood of a Crack in an Elastic Solid**, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences. The Royal Society. , 187(1009), pp. 229–260. 1946.

SOBHANIARAGH, B., MANSUR, W. J. AND PETERS, F. C. Three-dimensional investigation of multiple stage hydraulic fracturing in unconventional reservoirs, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering. Elsevier. , 146, pp. 1063–1078. 2016.

SONG, J. H., AREIAS, P. M. A. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. A method for dynamic crack and shear band propagation with phantom nodes, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 67(6), pp. 868–893. 2006.

SOUSA, J. ., CARTER, B. J. AND INGRAFFEA, A. R. Numerical simulation of 3D hydraulic fracture using Newtonian and power-law fluids, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts. Pergamon., 30(7), pp. 1265–1271. 1993.

STAZI, F. L. *ET AL*. An extended finite element method with higher-order elements for curved cracks, Computational Mechanics. Springer-Verlag., 31(1–2), pp. 38–48. 2003.

SUKUMAR, N. *ET AL.* Extended finite element method for threedimensional crack modelling, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 48(11), pp. 1549–1570. 2000.

SUKUMAR, N. *ET AL*. Three-dimensional non-planar crack growth by a coupled extended finite element and fast marching method, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 76(5), pp. 727–748. 2008.

VALKÓ, P. AND ECONOMIDES, M. J. Hydraulic fracture mechanics. Wiley. 1995.

VENTURA, G., BUDYN, E. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. Vector level sets for description of propagating cracks in finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 58(10), pp. 1571–1592. 2003.

WANG, H. Y. Numerical investigation of fracture spacing and sequencing effects on multiple hydraulic fracture interference and coalescence in brittle and ductile reservoir rocks, Engineering Fracture Mechanics. Pergamon. , 157, pp. 107–124. 2016.

WANG, T. *ET AL*. Simulation of hydraulic fracturing using particle flow method and application in a coal mine, International Journal of Coal Geology. Elsevier. , 121, pp. 1–13. 2014.

WARPINSKI, N. *ET AL.* Hydraulic fracture model comparison study: Complete results1993.

WARPINSKI, N. R. AND SMITH, M. B. Recent Advances in Hydraulic fracturing, in Recent Advances in Hydraulic fracturing. Richardson., pp. 57–80. 1989.

WARPINSKI, N. R. AND TEUFEL, L. W. **Influence of Geologic Discontinuities on Hydraulic Fracture Propagation**, Journal of Petroleum Technology, 39(2), pp. 209–220. 1987.

WELLS, G. N. AND SLUYS, L. J. A new method for modelling cohesive cracks using finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in

Engineering, 50(12), pp. 2667–2682. 2001.

WITHERSPOON, P. A. *ET AL.* Validity of Cubic Law for fluid flow in a deformable rock fracture, Water Resources Research, 16(6), pp. 1016–1024. 1980.

XU, B. AND WONG, R. C. K. A 3d finite element model for history matching hydraulic fracturing in unconsolidated sands formation, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 49(4), pp. 58–66. 2010.

YAO, Y. *ET AL*. Cohesive Fracture Mechanics Based Analysis to Model Ductile Rock Fracture, 44th US Rock Mechanics Symposium, (2)2010.

YOUN, D.-J. **Hydro-mechanical coupled simulation of hydraulic fracturing using the extended finite element method (XFEM)**, PhD Thesis. Colorado School of Mines. Arthur Lakes Library. Colorado School of Mines. Arthur Lakes Library. 2016.

ZHANG, G. M. *ET AL*. Three-dimensional finite element simulation and parametric study for horizontal well hydraulic fracture, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 72(3–4), pp. 310–317. 2010.

ZHANG, X. AND JEFFREY, R. G. The role of friction and secondary flaws on deflection and re-initiation of hydraulic fractures at orthogonal pre-existing fractures, Geophysical Journal International, 166(3), pp. 1454–1465. 2006.

ZHANG, Z. AND GHASSEMI, A. Simulation of hydraulic fracture propagation near a natural fracture using virtual multidimensional internal bonds, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 35(4), pp. 480–495. 2011.

ZHOU, J. *ET AL*. Analysis of fracture propagation behavior and fracture geometry using a tri-axial fracturing system in naturally fractured reservoirs, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences. Pergamon. , 45(7), pp. 1143–1152. 2008.

ZHOU, J. AND XUE, C. Experimental Investigation of Fracture Interaction between Natural Fractures and Hydraulic Fracture in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs, Proceedings of SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition, (May 2011), pp. 1–12. 2011.

ZHOU, L. AND HOU, M. Z. A new numerical 3D-model for simulation of hydraulic fracturing in consideration of hydro-mechanical coupling effects, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences. Elsevier. , 60, pp.

370-380. 2013.

ZI, G. *ET AL*. A method for growing multiple cracks without remeshing and its application to fatigue crack growth, Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering. IOP Publishing., 12(5), pp. 901–915. 2004.

ZI, G. AND BELYTSCHKO, T. New crack-tip elements for XFEM and applications to cohesive cracks, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 57(15), pp. 2221–2240. 2003.

ZIELONKA, M. G. *ET AL.* Development and Validation of Fully-Coupled Hydraulic Fracturing Simulation Capabilities, SIMULIA Community Conference, SCC2014, pp. 1–31. 2014.

ZIENKIEWICZ, O. C., TAYLOR, R. L. (ROBERT L. AND ZHU, J. Z. Finite element method : its basis and fundamentals. Butterworth-Heinemann. 2013.

Annex A Resulting space discretization

The weak formulation of the differential equations gives the following equalities (repeated from equations presented in Chapter 3 of the main document):

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta \varepsilon \cdot \sigma' \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \delta \varepsilon \cdot m \cdot p \, d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} \left[\delta u \right] (t_F - p_F \cdot n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_t} \delta u \cdot \bar{t} \, d\Gamma = 0$$
(A.1)

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \delta p k_f \nabla p \, d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta p \llbracket \dot{w} \rrbracket n_{\Gamma d} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \delta p . \nabla \dot{u} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_w} \delta p . \bar{q} \, d\Gamma = 0$$
(A.2)

$$\int_{\Gamma_{d}} \frac{\partial \delta p_{F}}{\partial x'} k_{f_{F}} \cdot 2h \cdot \frac{\partial p_{F}}{\partial x'} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} q_{F} n_{\Gamma d} d\Gamma +$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} \cdot 2h \cdot \langle \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{x'}}{\partial x'} \rangle d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} \cdot \left[\left[\dot{u}_{y'} \right] \right] d\Gamma = 0$$
(A.3)

It may be admitted that the test functions δu , δp and δp_F follow the same discretization rules as the variables u, p and p_F . It is also considered that the vector of the nodal variables for each element node is given by \bar{u} . Although generalized for any number of enriched degrees of freedom, for the sake of clearness the discretization is developed for one enriched displacement variable a and one enriched pressure variable p_a . Eq. (A.4) to Eq. (A.16) present the discretization of the variables and their derivatives.

$$u = N_u^{std} \bar{u} + N_u^{enr} \bar{a} \tag{A.4}$$

$$u = N_u^{std} \bar{s} \bar{u} + N_u^{enr} \bar{s} \bar{a} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\delta u = N_u^{std} \delta \bar{u} + N_u^{enr} \delta \bar{a} \tag{A.5}$$

$$[\![u]\!] = [\![N_u^{std}]\!]\bar{u} + [\![N_u^{enr}]\!]\bar{a} = [\![N_u^{enr}]\!]\bar{a}$$
(A.6)

$$\varepsilon = B_u^{std} \bar{u} + B_u^{enr} \bar{a} \tag{A.7}$$

$$\delta \varepsilon = B_u^{std} \delta \bar{u} + B_u^{enr} \delta \bar{a} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\nabla \dot{u} = B_u^{std} \dot{\bar{u}} + B_u^{enr} \dot{\bar{a}} \tag{A.9}$$

$$m = N^{std} \bar{\bar{u}} + N^{enr} \bar{\bar{m}}$$

$$p = N_p^{sta} \bar{p} + N_p^{enr} \bar{p}_a \tag{A.10}$$

$$\delta p = N_p^{std} \delta \bar{p} + N_p^{enr} \delta \overline{p_a} \tag{A.11}$$

$$\nabla p = B_p^{std} \bar{p} + B_p^{enr} \overline{p_a} \tag{A.12}$$

$$\delta \nabla p = B_p^{std} \delta \bar{p} + B_p^{enr} \delta \overline{p_a} \tag{A.13}$$

$$p_F = N_{p_F}^{std} \overline{p_F} \tag{A.14}$$

$$\delta p_F = N_{p_F}^{std} \delta \overline{p_F} \tag{A.15}$$

$$\nabla p_F = B_{p_F}^{std} \overline{p_F} \tag{A.16}$$

Replacing the variables in Eq. (3.14), the following equation is obtained

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \delta \varepsilon. D. \varepsilon \, d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} \delta \varepsilon. \, m.p \, d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} [\![\delta u]\!] (t_{F} - p_{F}. n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{t}} \delta u. \bar{t} \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{std})^{T} \delta \bar{u} D B_{u}^{std} \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{std})^{T} \delta \bar{u} D B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} D B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} D B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{std})^{T} \delta \bar{u} N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{std})^{T} \delta \bar{a} N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} N_{p}^{enr} \bar{p}_{\bar{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} N_{p}^{enr} \bar{p}_{\bar{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} N_{p}^{enr} \bar{p}_{\bar{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &- \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} N_{p}^{enr} \bar{p}_{\bar{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} [N_{u}^{enr}]^{T} \delta \bar{a} \, D_{F} [N_{u}^{enr}] \bar{a} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} [N_{u}^{enr}]^{T} \delta \bar{a} \, (-p_{F} n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{t}} (N_{u}^{std})^{T} \delta \bar{u} \, \bar{t} \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{t}} (N_{u}^{enr})^{T} \delta \bar{a} \, \bar{t} \, d\Gamma \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Assembling the test functions, it gives

$$\begin{split} \delta \bar{u} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{std})^{T} D B_{u}^{std} \bar{u} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{std})^{T} D B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \right. \\ & - \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{std})^{T} N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ & - \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{std})^{T} N_{p}^{enr} \bar{c} \, d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{t}} (N_{u}^{std})^{T} \, \bar{t} \, d\Gamma \right\} \\ & + \delta \bar{a} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} D B_{u}^{std} \bar{u} \, d\Omega \right. \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} D B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \\ & - \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ & - \int_{\Omega} m (B_{u}^{enr})^{T} N_{p}^{enr} \overline{p_{a}} \, d\Omega \\ & + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \|N_{u}^{enr}\|^{T} \, D_{F} \|N_{u}^{enr}\| \, \bar{a} \, d\Gamma \\ & - \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \|N_{u}^{enr}\|^{T} \, (p_{F} n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{t}} (N_{u}^{enr})^{T} \, \bar{t} \, d\Gamma \right\} \\ & = 0 \end{split}$$

Considering that this condition is valid for any test function, the term within the brackets must equal zero. Arranging the terms into a matrix form, the following relation is obtained

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{uu} & K_{ua} \\ K_{au} & K_{aa} \end{bmatrix} \{ \overline{u} \} - \begin{bmatrix} Q_{up} & Q_{uc} \\ Q_{ap} & Q_{ac} \end{bmatrix} \{ \overline{p}_{\overline{p}a} \} = \{ f_u^{ext} \\ f_a^{ext} \} - \{ f_u^{int} \\ f_a^{int} \}$$
(A.19)

where

$$K_{uu} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{std})^T D B_u^{std} \, d\Omega \tag{A.20}$$

$$K_{ua} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{std})^T D B_u^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.21}$$

$$K_{au} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{enr})^T D B_u^{std} \, d\Omega \tag{A.22}$$

$$K_{aa} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{enr})^T D B_u^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.23}$$

$$Q_{up} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{std})^T m N_p^{std} d\Omega$$
 (A.24)

$$Q_{uc} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{std})^T m N_p^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.25}$$

$$Q_{ap} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{enr})^T m N_p^{std} \, d\Omega \tag{A.26}$$

$$Q_{ac} = \int_{\Omega} (B_u^{enr})^T m N_p^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.27}$$

$$f_u^{ext} = -\int_{\Gamma_t} (N_u^{std})^T \ \bar{t} \ d\Gamma$$
(A.28)

$$f_a^{ext} = \int_{\Gamma_t} (N_u^{enr})^T \,\bar{t} \,d\Gamma \tag{A.29}$$

$$f_u^{int} = 0 \tag{A.30}$$

$$f_a^{int} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \llbracket N_u^{enr} \rrbracket^T D_F \,\bar{a} \,d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} \llbracket N_u^{enr} \rrbracket^T \,(p_F n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \tag{A.31}$$

$$m = \{1 \quad 1 \quad 0\}^T \tag{A.32}$$

Generalizing the equations and terms, it gives

$$[K]\{\overline{\mathbb{U}}\} - [Q]\{\overline{\mathbb{P}}\} + f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int} - f_{\mathbb{U}}^{ext} = 0$$
(A.33)

$$K_{\beta\gamma} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_u^{\beta} \right)^T D B_u^{\gamma} \, d\Omega \tag{A.34}$$

$$Q_{\beta\zeta} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_u^{\beta} \right)^T m \, N_p^{\zeta} \, d\Omega \tag{A.35}$$

$$f_{\beta}^{int} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left[\left[N_u^{\beta} \right] \right]^T D_F \,\bar{\beta} \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} \left[\left[N_u^{\beta} \right] \right]^T (p_F n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \tag{A.36}$$

$$f_{\beta}^{ext} = \int_{\Gamma_t} \left(N_u^{\beta} \right)^T \, \bar{t} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.37}$$

For the continuity in the porous region, the replacement of Eqs. (A.4) to (A.16) in Eq. (A.2) gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \delta p k_{f} \nabla p \, d\Omega &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p. c(p - p_{F}) n_{\Gamma d} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \delta p. \nabla u \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{w}} \delta p. \bar{q} \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} \, k_{f} \, B_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} \, k_{f} \, B_{p}^{str} \overline{p_{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{a}} \, k_{f} B_{p}^{enr} \overline{p_{a}} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} c \, N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} c \, N_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} c \, N_{p}^{str} \, \overline{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{a}} \, c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} c \, N_{pF}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} c \, N_{pF}^{std} \, \overline{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} n \, C \, N_{pF}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} m \, B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} m \, B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} m \, B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} \bar{n} \, m \, B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} \bar{n} \, m \, B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \bar{p} \bar{n} \, d\Gamma \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Assembling the test functions, it gives

.

$$\begin{split} \delta \bar{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} k_{F} B_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} k_{F} B_{p}^{enr} \overline{p_{a}} \, d\Omega \right. \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{w}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} \bar{q} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} m B_{u}^{std} \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} m B_{u}^{enr} \bar{a} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p}_{a} \, d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{std} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p}_{F} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \delta \overline{p_{a}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} k_{F} B_{p}^{std} \bar{p} \, d\Omega \right. \end{aligned}$$
(A.39)
$$&+ \int_{\Omega} \left(B_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} m B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} m B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} m B_{u}^{std} \, \bar{u} \, d\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p}^{enr} \right)^{T} c \, N_{p}^{std} \, \bar{p}_{F} \, d\Gamma \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Arranging the terms into a matrix form, the following relation is obtained

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{pu} & Q_{pa} \\ Q_{cu} & Q_{ca} \end{bmatrix} \{ \dot{\overline{a}} \} + \begin{bmatrix} H_{pp} + L_{pp} & H_{pc} + L_{pc} \\ H_{cp} + L_{cp} & H_{cc} + L_{cc} \end{bmatrix} \{ \dot{\overline{p}}_{a} \} + \begin{bmatrix} L_{pp_{F}} \\ L_{cp_{F}} \end{bmatrix} \{ \overline{p_{F}} \}$$

$$= \begin{cases} q_{p}^{ext} \\ q_{c}^{ext} \end{cases}$$
(A.40)

where

$$Q_{pu} = \int_{\Omega} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T m B_u^{std} d\Omega$$
 (A.41)

$$Q_{pa} = \int_{\Omega} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T m \, B_u^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.42}$$

$$Q_{cu} = \int_{\Omega} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T m B_u^{std} d\Omega$$
 (A.43)

$$Q_{ca} = \int_{\Omega} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T m \, B_u^{enr} \, d\Omega \tag{A.44}$$

$$H_{pp} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_p^{std} \right)^T k_F B_p^{std} d\Omega$$
 (A.45)

$$H_{pc} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_p^{std} \right)^T k_F B_p^{enr} d\Omega$$
 (A.46)

$$H_{cp} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_p^{enr} \right)^T k_F B_p^{std} \, d\Omega \tag{A.47}$$

$$H_{cc} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_p^{enr} \right)^T k_F B_p^{enr} d\Omega$$
 (A.48)

$$L_{pp_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T c \, N_{p_F}^{std} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.49}$$

$$L_{cp_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T c \, N_{p_F}^{std} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.50}$$

$$L_{pp} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T c N_p^{std} d\Gamma$$
(A.51)

$$L_{pc} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T c N_p^{enr} d\Gamma$$
(A.52)

$$L_{cp} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T c N_p^{std} d\Gamma$$
(A.53)

$$L_{cc} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T c N_p^{enr} d\Gamma$$
(A.54)

$$q_p^{ext} = \int_{\Gamma_w} \left(N_p^{std} \right)^T \bar{q} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.55}$$

$$q_c^{ext} = \int_{\Gamma_w} \left(N_p^{enr} \right)^T \bar{q} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.56}$$

Generalizing the equations and terms, it gives

$$[Q^T]\left\{\overline{\overline{\mathbb{U}}}\right\} + [H+L1]\left\{\overline{\mathbb{P}}\right\} - [L2]\left\{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_F\right\} - q_{\mathbb{P}}^{ext} = 0$$
(A.57)

$$H_{\delta\zeta} = \int_{\Omega} \left(B_p^{\delta} \right)^T k_F B_p^{\zeta} d\Omega$$
 (A.58)

$$L1_{\delta\zeta} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{\delta} \right)^T c N_P^{\zeta} d\Gamma$$
(A.59)

$$L2_{\delta P_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{\delta} \right)^T c \, N_{p_F}^{std} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.60}$$

$$q_{\delta}^{ext} = \int_{\Gamma_{w}} \left(N_{p}^{\delta} \right)^{T} \bar{q}_{w} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.61}$$

For the continuity in the fracture region, the replacement of Eqs. (A.4) to (A.16) in Eq. (A.3) gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \frac{\partial \delta p_{F}}{\partial x'} k_{f_{F}} \cdot 2h. \frac{\partial p_{F}}{\partial x'} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} \cdot c(p_{F} - p) n_{\Gamma d} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} \cdot 2h. \langle \frac{\partial \dot{u}_{x'}}{\partial x'} \rangle d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta p_{F} \cdot \left[\left[\dot{u}_{y'} \right] \right] d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(B_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta \overline{p_{F}} (2h) k_{fF} \nabla \overline{p_{F}} t_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{F}} c N_{p}^{std} \overline{p} d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{F}} c N_{p}^{enr} \overline{p_{a}} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta \overline{p_{F}} (2h) \langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{F}} (2h) \langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \delta \overline{p_{F}} \left[\dot{u} \right] n_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma = 0 \end{split}$$

Assembling the test functions, it gives

$$\begin{split} \delta \overline{p_F} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(B_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T t_{\Gamma_d} \left(2h \right) k_{fF} \nabla \overline{p_F} t_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T t_{\Gamma_d} \left(2h \right) \langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle t_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T \left[\dot{u} \right] n_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_p^{std} \overline{p} d\Gamma \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_{p_F}^{enr} \overline{p_a} d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_{p_F}^{std} \overline{p_F} d\Gamma \right\} \end{split}$$
(A.63)

Arranging the terms into a matrix form, the following relation is obtained

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{p_F p} & L_{p_F c} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{\overline{p}}{\overline{p_a}} \right\} + \begin{bmatrix} H_{p_F p_F} + L_{p_F p_F} \end{bmatrix} \{ \overline{p_F} \} = q_{p_F}^{int}$$
(A.64)

where

$$H_{p_F p_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(B_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T t_{\Gamma_d} (2\mathbf{h}) k_{Fd} \, \nabla \overline{p_F} \, t_{\Gamma_d} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.65}$$

$$L_{p_F p_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c \, N_{p_F}^{std} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.66}$$

$$L_{p_F p} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_p^{std} d\Gamma$$
(A.67)

$$L_{p_Fc} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_p^{enr} d\Gamma$$
(A.68)

$$q_{p_{F}}^{int} = \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} (2\mathbf{h}) \langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} [\![\dot{u}]\!] n_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma$$
(A.69)

Generalizing the equations and terms, it gives

$$-[L2^T]\{\overline{\mathbb{P}}\} + [H_F + L3]\{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_F\} - q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_F}^{int} = 0$$
(A.70)

where

$$L2_{\delta P_F} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_p^{\delta} \right)^T c \, N_{p_F}^{std} \, d\Gamma \tag{A.71}$$

$$L3 = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(N_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T c N_{p_F}^{std} d\Gamma$$
(A.72)

$$H_F = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left(B_{p_F}^{std} \right)^T t_{\Gamma_d} (2\mathbf{h}) k_{Fd} B_{p_F}^{std} t_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$$
(A.73)

$$q_{p_{F}}^{int} = \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} (2\mathbf{h}) \langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} [\![\dot{u}]\!] n_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma$$
(A.74)

The values related with velocity are defined as

$$\langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle = \frac{\nabla \dot{u}^{+} + \nabla \dot{u}^{-}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [(B_{u}^{std} \dot{u} + B_{u}^{enr} \dot{a})^{+} + (B_{u}^{std} \dot{u} + B_{u}^{enr} \dot{a})^{-}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [2.B_{u}^{std} \dot{u} + B_{u}^{std} (H^{+} + H^{-}) \dot{a}]$$

$$= B_{u}^{std} \dot{u} + B_{u}^{std} \langle H \rangle \dot{a}$$

$$= B_{u}^{std} \frac{(\bar{u}_{n} - \bar{u}_{n-1})}{\Delta t} + B_{u}^{std} \langle H \rangle \frac{(\bar{a}_{n} - \bar{a}_{n-1})}{\Delta t}$$

$$[[\dot{u}]] = \dot{u}^{+} - \dot{u}^{-}$$

$$(A.75)$$

Given that

$$N_u^{std^+} = N_u^{std^-} = N_u^{std} \tag{A.77}$$

$$N_u^{enr^+} = N_u^{std^+} H^+ = N_u^{std} H^+$$
(A.78)

$$N_u^{enr^-} = N_u^{std^-} H^- = N_u^{std} H^- \tag{A.79}$$

$$B_u^{std^+} = B_u^{std^-} = B_u^{std} \tag{A.80}$$

$$B_u^{enr^+} = B_u^{std^+} H^+ = B_u^{std} H^+$$
(A.81)

$$B_u^{enr^-} = B_u^{std^-} H^- = B_u^{std} H^- \tag{A.82}$$

Where H^+ and H^- represent the values of the enrichment function H in the fracture top and bottom faces, respectively. In $\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_{n-1}$, *n* represents the current increment and *n*-1 the previous one.

Generalizing a number of degrees of freedom equal to ndof, it gives

$$\langle \nabla \dot{u} \rangle = \sum_{k}^{naof} \langle B_{u}^{k} \rangle \frac{\left(\bar{k}_{n} - \bar{k}_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t}$$
(A.83)

$$\llbracket \dot{\bar{u}} \rrbracket = \sum_{k}^{ndof} \llbracket N_{u}^{k} \rrbracket \frac{\left(\bar{k}_{n} - \bar{k}_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t}$$
(A.84)

with $\langle B_u^u \rangle = B_u^{std}$ and $\langle B_u^a \rangle = B_u^{std} \langle H_a \rangle$

Annex B Newton-Raphson Algorithm

The Jacobian of derivatives is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \Psi_{U}}{\partial \overline{U}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{U}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{U}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{P}}{\partial \overline{U}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{P}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{P}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{U}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} K + \frac{\partial f_{U}^{int}}{\partial \overline{U}} & -Q + \frac{\partial f_{U}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} & \frac{\partial f_{U}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta t}Q^{T} & (H+L) & L \\ -\frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{U}} & L^{T} - \frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} & (H_{F}+L_{F}) - \frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.1)

Multiplying the second and third lines for Δt , it gives

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{U}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{U}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{U}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{P}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{P}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbb{P}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} & \frac{\partial \Psi_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} K + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} & -Q + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} & \frac{\partial f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \\ Q^{T} & \Delta t (H + L) & \Delta t. L \\ -\Delta t \frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} & \Delta t \left(L^{T} - \frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} \right) & \Delta t (H_{F} + L_{F}) - \Delta t \frac{\partial q_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(B.2)$$

Re-scaling the problem formulation for one standard u and one enriched degree of freedom a, the derivatives for the mechanical equation are

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{U}}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{a}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{a}} \end{cases} \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \\ \int_{\Gamma_d} [\![N_u^{enr}]\!]^T (D_F \, \overline{a} - p_F n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \end{cases}^T \\
= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \int_{\Gamma_d} [\![N_u^{enr}]\!]^T D_F [\![N_u^{enr}]\!] d\Gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_a \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.3)

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbb{U}}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{p}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{p}_a} \end{cases} \begin{cases} 0 \\ \int_{\Gamma_d} [N_u^{enr}]^T (D_F \overline{a} - p_F n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \end{cases}^T = 0$$
(B.4)

$$\frac{\partial f_{U}^{int}}{\partial \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{F}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{p}_{F}} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} [\![N_{u}^{enr}]\!]^{T} (D_{F} \overline{a} - p_{F} n_{\Gamma d}) d\Gamma \right\} \\
= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} [\![N_{u}^{enr}]\!]^{T} n_{\Gamma d} N_{p_{F}}^{std} d\Gamma \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -Q_{ap_{F}} \end{array} \right\}$$
(B.5)

The derivatives for the continuity equation in the fracture are

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial q_{\mathbb{F}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \mathbb{U}} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(2h \right) \left\langle \nabla \dot{u} \right\rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \left[\dot{u} \right] n_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(2h \right) \left(B_{u}^{std} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \right) t_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \right. \\ \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(2h \right) \left(B_{u}^{std} \left\langle H \right\rangle \frac{1}{\Delta t} \right) t_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} N_{u}^{std} \left[H \right] \frac{1}{\Delta t} n_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \right. \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\Delta t} S_{P_{f}u} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta t} S_{P_{f}u} \right\} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta t} S_{P_{f}a} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} V_{P_{f}a} \right\} \\ \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(2h \right) \left\langle \nabla \dot{u} \right\rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \left[\dot{u} \right] n_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \right\} \\ &= 0 \\ \left\{ \frac{\partial q_{\mathbb{F}_{F}}^{int}}{\partial \mathbb{F}_{F}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{p_{F}}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} t_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(2h \right) \left\langle \nabla \dot{u} \right\rangle t_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \left(N_{p_{F}}^{std} \right)^{T} \left[\dot{u} \right] n_{\Gamma_{d}} \, d\Gamma \right) \\ &= 0 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

Substituting the derivatives in the Jacobian, it gives

$$= \begin{bmatrix} K_{uu} & K_{ua} & -Q_{up} & -Q_{uc} & 0\\ K_{au} & K_{aa} + T_{a} & -Q_{ap} & -Q_{ac} & -Q_{ap_{F}}\\ Q_{pu} & Q_{pa} & \Delta t(H_{pp} + L_{pp}) & \Delta t(H_{pc} + L_{pc}) & \Delta t. L_{pp_{F}}\\ Q_{cu} & Q_{ca} & \Delta t(H_{cp} + L_{cp}) & \Delta t(H_{cc} + L_{cc}) & \Delta t. L_{cp_{F}}\\ -S_{P_{f}u} & -(S_{P_{f}a} + V_{P_{f}a}) & \Delta t. L_{p_{F}p} & \Delta t. L_{p_{F}c} & \Delta t(H_{p_{F}p_{F}} + L_{p_{F}p_{F}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.9)

If both porous and fracture material constitutive behaviour are such that their matrices K and T are symmetric, the Jacobian may be symmetric if the following simplifications are considered:

• The lines relative to pore and fracture pressures (third, fourth and fifth lines) are multiplied by -1

Т

•
$$S_{P_f u} = 0$$

• $\left(S_{P_f a} + V_{P_f a}\right) = Q_{p_F a} = Q_{a p_F}$

The resulting Jacobian matrix is then given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} K_{uu} & K_{ua} & -Q_{up} & -Q_{uc} & 0 \\ K_{au} & K_{aa} + T_{a} & -Q_{ap} & -Q_{ac} & -Q_{ap_{F}} \\ -Q_{pu} & -Q_{pa} & -\Delta t (H_{pp} + L_{pp}) & -\Delta t (H_{pc} + L_{pc}) & -\Delta t . L_{pp_{F}} \\ -Q_{cu} & -Q_{ca} & -\Delta t (H_{cp} + L_{cp}) & -\Delta t (H_{cc} + L_{cc}) & -\Delta t . L_{pp_{F}} \\ 0 & -Q_{pFa} & -\Delta t . L_{pFp} & -\Delta t . L_{pFc} & -\Delta t (H_{pFpF} + L_{pFp_{F}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.10)

Generalizing the terms, it gives

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} K + T & -Q & -Q_F \\ -Q^T & -\Delta t (H + L1) & \Delta t. L2 \\ -Q_F^T & \Delta t. L2^T & -\Delta t. (H_F + L3) \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.11)

Where the matrices are given by Eqs. (A.34), (A.35), (A.58) to (A.60), (A.71)

to (A.73), and

$$T_{\beta\gamma} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \left[\! \left[N_u^\beta \right] \! \right]^T D_F \left[\! \left[N_u^\gamma \right] \! \right] d\Gamma$$
(B.12)

$$Q_{F_{\beta P_F}} = \int_{\Omega} \left[\left[N_u^{\beta} \right] \right]^T n_{\Gamma d} N_{p_F}^{std} d\Omega$$
(B.13)