

4

Estimation and Asymptotic Properties

Given a function \mathbf{f} parameterized by a vector $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{f}(x, \boldsymbol{\psi})$, the parameters $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_p$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ are estimated in two stages. Set $\boldsymbol{\eta}' = [\boldsymbol{\psi}', \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1)', \dots, \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_p)']'$ and define the Nonlinear Least Squares (NLLS) estimator of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\text{argmin}} \mathcal{Q}_T(\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\boldsymbol{\eta})' \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\boldsymbol{\eta}), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \Delta \mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{y}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) - \sum_{i=1}^p \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i \Delta \mathbf{y}_{t-i}$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_T)'$ is a $(T \times n)$ matrix representing the dataset.

Consider the following estimation procedure:

- (a) Estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ super-consistently as discussed in Section 3.
- (b) Estimate Equation (2.1) by NLLS using $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Define the following Jacobian:

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}}$$

Proposition 2 *Under Assumptions 1–4, $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Furthermore, the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is consistently estimated by*

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}})' \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}})' \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}})' \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) \right] \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}})' \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y}_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) \right]^{-1}.$$

Proof: See Appendix in Section 8. ■

Again, as a consequence of the faster convergence rate of the cointegrating vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, the nonlinear least squares of the second stage has standard asymptotics. Using a maximum likelihood approach, Kristensen and Rahbek

(2010) [14] showed that the general distribution of the parameters estimator is non-standard, drawing attention to the fact that it was possible that some models would yield normal distributions, for example, the linear model. However, they did not provide a condition to that neither gave any nonlinear example.

However, having rejected the null hypothesis of linearity in the test presented in the previous section, what model should a researcher estimate? In other words, which function f to choose? In some applications, it is possible that the researcher has a specific function in mind. For example, in Kapetanios, Shin and Snell (2006) [13] it is shown that a incomplete information model may lead exactly to a model with logistic transition equation.

Yet, this will not always be the case. When there is no theoretical function available, we propose a heuristic procedure based on a semi-parametric approach. Comparing the semi-parametric estimate with the existing functions in the nonlinear literature, it is possible to choose the most adequate.

In this paper we do not provide a formal proof for the validity of this approach, even though it seems plausible to provide one, considering the Taylor expansion argument used in the demonstrations of semi-parametric estimations, c.f. Pagan and Ullah (1999) [18].