

7 Referências Bibliográficas

- ANDERSON, S.W. Direct and indirect effects of product mix characteristics on capacity management decisions and operating performance, **International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems**, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 241-265, 2001.
- ANFAVEA. Associação Nacional dos Fabricantes de Veículos Automotores, Anuário Estatístico, São Paulo, 2008.
- APPELQVIST, P.; GUBI, E. Postponed variety creation: case study in consumer electronics retail. **International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management**, Vol.33, No.10, pp. 734-748, 2005.
- BAGOZZI, R. Construct validation of measure of adaptative innovative cognitive styles in consumption. **International Journal of Research in Marketing**, Vol.13, pp.201-213, 1996.
- BALAKRISHNAN, N.; CHAKRAVARTY, A. Product Design With Multiple Suppliers For Component Variants. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol. 112, pp. 723-741, 2008.
- BALDWIN, C.; CLARK, K. Managing in an age of modularity. **Harvard Business Review**, Vol.75, No.5, pp.84-93, 1997.
- BANKER, R.; CARLSON, M. Hypothesis test using data envelopment analysis. **Journal of Productivity Analysis**, Vol.7, No.2-3, pp.139-159, 1995.
- BARBOSA, Tiago; SCAVARDA, Luiz Felipe; HAMACHER, Silvio. Comparação entre as Tendências e Estratégias da Indústria Automotiva no Brasil e na Europa. **Gestão & Produção**, Vol.12, No.3, pp. 361-375, 2005.
- BARBOT, C. Can low cost carriers deter or accommodate entry level? **Transportation Research**, Vol.44, pp.883-893, 2008.
- BARNEY, J.B. **Gaining and Sustaining Competitive Advantage**, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 570 pp., 1996.
- BARNEY, J.B. Types of competition and the theory of strategy: toward an integrative framework. **Academy of Management Review**, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 791-800, 1986.
- BATTEZZATI, L., MAGNANI, R. Supply chain for FMCG and industrial products in Italy practices and the advantages of postponement, **International Journal of Physical Distributions and Logistic Management**, Vol.30, No.5, pp.413–424, 2000.
- BAYUS, B.; ERICKSON, G.; JACOBSON, R. The financial rewards of new products introductions in the personal computer industry. **Management Science**, Vol.49, No.2, pp.197-210, 2003.

BAYUS, B.; PUTSIS, W. Product proliferation: An Empirical Analysis of Product Line Determinants and Market Outcomes. **Marketing Science**, Vol. 18 No.2 pp.137, 1999.

KIM, J.; BENJAAFAR, S.; VISHWANADHAM, N. On the Effect of Product Variety in Production-Inventory Systems, **Annals of Operations Research**, Vol. 126, No. 1-4, p. 71-101, 2004.

BENNETT, D.; FORRESTER, P. Product Variety and Just-in-time: Conflict and Challenge. **The International Journal of Logistics and Management**, Vol.5, No.1, 1994.

BERRY, W.; COOPER, M. Manufacturing flexibility: methods for measuring the impact of product variety on performance in process industry. **Journal of Operations Management**, Vol. 17, No. 2, p. 163-178, 1999.

BESTER, H.; PETRAKIS, E. **International Journal of Industrial Organization**, Vol. 14, pp.227-242, 1996.

BISH, E.; MURIEL, A.; BILLER, S. Managing Flexible Capacity in a Make-to-Order Environment. **Management Science**, Vol.51, No.2, pp.167-180, 2005.

BLECKER, T.; ABDELKAFI, N. Complexity and Variety in Mass Customization Systems: Analysis and Recommendations. **Management Decision**, Vol.44, No.7, pp.908-929, 2006.

BLECKER, T.; ABDELKAFI, N.; KALUZA, B.; KREUTLER, G. Mass Ccustomization vs. Complexity: A Gordian Knot?, In: Galetic, L. (Ed.), **2^a Conferência Internacional “An Enterprise Odyssey: Building Competitive Advantage”**, Proceedings, Zagreb, Croácia, 17-19 Junho, p. 890-903, 2004.

BOHLMANN, J.; GOLDER, P.; MITRA, D. Deconstructing the Pioneer's Advantage: Examining Vintage Effects and Consumer Valuations of Quality and Variety. **Management Science**, Vol.48, No.09, pp.1175-1195, 2002.

BOWERSOX, D.; CLOSS, D. **Logistical Management – The Integrated Supply Chain Process**, McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 1996.

BRIKÈ, F. The Cost of Complexity, **GAPConnection**. Disponível em: <<http://www.prnewswire.com/cnoc/ATKcost.html>>. Acesso em: 15 dez. 2005.

BRUN, A.; ZORZINI, M. Evaluation of product customization strategies through modularization and postponement. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol. 114, No.1, pp. 1-16, 2008.

BRYNJOLFSSON, E.; HU, J.; SMITH, M. Consumer Surplus in the Digital Economy: Estimating the Value of Increased Product Variety at Online Booksellers. **Management Science**, Vol.49, No.11, pp.1580-1596, 2003.

CAMUFFO, A. **Globalization, Outsourcing and Modularity in the Auto Industry**, EURAM, Estocolmo, 2002.

CARVALHO, E. Globalização e estratégias competitivas na indústria automobilística: uma abordagem a partir das principais montadoras instaladas no Brasil, **Gestão & Produção**, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 121-133, 2005.

CHAKRAVARTY, A.; BALAKRISHNAN, N. Achieving product variety through optimal choice of module variations. **IIE Transactions**, Vol.33, No.7, pp. 587-598, 2001.

CHEN, Y.; IYER, G. Consumer addressability and customized pricing. **Marketing Science**, Vol.21, No.2, pp. 197-208, 2002.

CHRISTOPHER, M.; TOWILL, D. An Integrated Model for the Design of Agile Supply Chains. **International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management**, Vol.31, No.4, pp.235-246, 2001.

COLLIER, D. Aggregate safety stocks levels and component part commonality. **Management Science**, Vol.28, No.11, pp.1296-1303, 1982.

CONNOR, J. Product proliferation: a market structure analysis. **American Journal of Agricultural Economics**, Vol.11, pp.607-617, 1981.

COOPER, R. New Products: The Factors that Drive Success. **International Marketing Review**, Vol.11, No.1, pp.60-76, 1994.

CORRÊA, H.L., **Linking Flexibility, Uncertainty and Variability in Manufacturing Systems**, Aylesbury, Aldershot, 1994.

DA SILVEIRA, G. A framework for the management of product variety. **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, Vol.18, No.3, pp.271-285, 1998.

DANESE, P.; ROMANO, P. Improving Inter-Functional Coordination to Face High Product Variety and Frequent Modifications. **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, Vol.24, No.9, pp.863-885, 2004.

DEGRAEVE, Z.; LABRO, E.; ROODHOOFT, F. Total cost of ownership purchasing of a service: the case of airline selection at alcatel bell. **European Journal of Operational Research**, Vol. 156, pp. 23-40, 2004.

DESAI, P.; KEKRE, S.; RADHAKRISHNAN, S.; SRINIVASAN, K. Product Differentiation and Commonality in Design: Balancing Revenue and Cost Drivers. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.1, pp.37-51, 2001.

DEWAN, R.; JING, B.; SEIDMANN, A. Product Customization and Price Competition on the Internet. **Management Science**, Vol.49, No.8, pp.1055-1070, 2003.

DRAGANSKA, M.; JAIN, D. Product-Line Length as a Competitive Tool. **Journal of Economics & Management Strategy**, Vol. 14, No.1, 2005.

DUBELAAR, C.; CHOW, G.; LARSEN, P. Relationships between inventory, sales and service in a retail chain store operation. **International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management**, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 96-108, 2001.

ER, M.; MACCARTHY, B. Investigating the impact of product variety in international supply chains: a simulation study, **Proceedings of the 8th Annual Cambridge Symposium on International Manufacturing**, 2003.

ER, M.; MACCARTHY, B. Managing product variety in multinational corporation supply chains: a simulation study. **Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management**, Vol.17, No.8, pp.1117-1138, 2006.

ERNST, R., KAMRAD, B. Evaluation of supply chain structures through modularization and postponement. **European Journal of Operating Research**, Vol.124, No.3, pp. 495-510, 2000.

EYNAN, A.; ROSENBLATT, M. An analysis of purchasing costs as the number of product component is reduced. **Production and Operations Management**, Vol.6, No.4, 1997.

FEITZINGER, E.; LEE, H. Mass customization at Hewlett-Packard: the power of postponement. **Harvard Business Review**, Vol. jan/fev, pp. 116-121, 1997.

FISHER, M.; HAMMOND, J.; OBERMEYER, W.; RAMAN, A. Configuring a supply chain to reduce the cost of demand uncertainty, **Production and Operations Management**, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 211-225, 1997.

FISHER, M.; HAMMOND, J.; OBERMEYER, W.; RAMAN, A. Making supply meet demand in an uncertain world. **Harvard Business Review**, Vol.1, 1994.

FISHER, M.; ITTERNER, C. The Impact of Product Variety on Automobile Assembly Operations: Empirical Evidence and Simulation Analysis. **Management Science**, Vol.45, No.6, 1996.

FISHER, M.; ITTNER, C. The Impact of Product Variety on Automobile Assembly Operations: Empirical Evidence and Simulation Analysis, **Management Science**, Vol. 45, No. 6, pp. 771-786, 1999.

FISHER, M.; ULRICH, K.; RAMDAS, K. Component sharing in the management of product variety: A study of automotive braking systems. **Management Science**, Vol.45, No.3 1999.

FORD – Ford Motors Company. Disponível em: <http://www.ford.com> acesso em: 08/07/2008.

FREY, D.N. “The new dynamism: part 2”. **Interfaces**, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 105-118, 1994.

FUDENBERG, D.; TIROLE, J. Pricing a network good to deter entry. **The Journal of Industrial Economics**, Vol.48, No.4, pp.373-390, 2000.

FUJIMOTO, H.; AHMED, A.; IIDA, Y.; HANAI, M. Assembly Process Design for Managing Manufacturing Complexities Because of Product Varieties. **International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems**, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 283-307, 2003.

GM – General Motors Company. Disponível em: <http://www.gm.com> acessado em: 15/11/2008.

GOLDENBERG, J.; LEHMANN, D.; MAZURSKY, D. The Idea Itself and the Circumstances of Its Emergence as Predictors of New Product Success. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.1, pp.69-84, 2001.

GRIFFIN, A. PDMA research on new product development practices: Updating trends and benchmarking best practices. **Journal Product Innovation Management**, Vol.14, pp.429-458, 1997.

GRIFFIN, A; HAUSER, J. The voice of the customer. **Marketing Science**, Vol.12, No.1, pp.63-73, 1993.

GUNASEKARAN, A.; NGAI, E. Modeling and Analysis Of Build-To-Order. **European Journal of Operational Research**, Vol. 195, pp. 319-334, 2009.

HOLWEG, M.; PIL, F.K. **The Second Century: Reconnecting Customer and Value Chain through Build-to-Order**, The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004.

HONDA – Honda Motors. Disponível em: <http://www.honda.co.jp> acesso em: 23/09/2008.

HSU, H.; WANG, W. Dynamic programming for delayed product differentiation. **European Journal of Operational Research**, Vol. 156, pp. 183–193, 2004.

HUI, K. Product Variety Under Brand Influence: An Empirical Investigation of Personal Computer Demand. **Management Science**, Vol.50, No.5, pp.686-700, 2004.

IYENGAR, S.; LEPPER, M. When Choice is Demotivating: Can one Desire too Much of a Good Thing? **Journal of Personality and Social Psychology**, Vol. 79, No. 6, pp. 995-1006, 2000.

IYER, A.V.; DESHPANDE, V.; WU, Z. Postponement Model for Demand Management. **Management Science**, Vol. 49, No.8, pp.983–1002, 2003.

POWERS, J. **European Model Life Cycle Dynamics**, Oxford, 2000.

JIAO, J.; MA, Q.; TSENG, M. Towards high value-added products and services: mass customization and beyond. **Technovation**, Vol.23, No.10, pp.809–821, 2003.

JIAO, J.; TSENG, M. Fundamentals of Product Family Architecture. **Integrated Manufacturing Systems**, Vol.11, No.7, pp.469-483, 2001.

KAHN, B. Dynamic relationship with customers: High-variety strategies, **Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science**, Vol. 26, No. 1, p. 45-53, 1998.

KAPLAN, R.; COOPER, R. Cost and effect: using integrated cost systems to drive profitability and performance. **Harvard Business School Press**, New York, 1998.

KEKRE, S.; SRINIVASAN, K. Broader product line: a necessity to achieve success? **Management Science**, Vol.36, No.10, pp. 1216-31, 1990.

KELLER, K.; LEHMANN, D. Brands and Branding: Research Findings and Future Priorities. **Marketing Science**, vol.25, no.6, pp.740-759, 2006.

KOTLER, P. **Administração de Marketing**. São Paulo: Prentice Hall, 10^a Edição, 2000.

KOTTEAKU, A.; LAIOS, L.; MOSCHURIS, S. The influence of product complexity on the purchasing structure, **Omega: The International Journal of Management Science**, Vol. 23, No. 1, p. 27-39, 1995.

KRISHNAN, V.; GUPTA, S. Appropriateness and Impact of Platform-Based Product Development. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.1, pp.52-68, 2001.

KRISHNAN, V.; ULRICH, K. Product development decisions: a review of the literature. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.1, pp.1-21, 2001.

LAMPEL, J.; MINTZBERG, H. Customizing, customization. **Sloan Management Review**, Vol.38, No.1, pp.21-30, 1996.

LANCASTER, K. The economics of product variety: A survey, **Marketing Science**, Vol. 9, No. 3, p. 189-206, 1990.

LEE, H.; TANG, C. Modelling the costs and benefits of delayed product differentiation. **Management Science**, Vol. 43, No. 1, p. 40-53, 1997.

LI, J.; EDWIN C.; WANG, S.Y. Analysis of postponement strategy for perishable items by EOQ-based models. **International Journal of Production Economics**, Vol.107, No.1, pp.31–38, 2007.

MA, S.; WANG, W.; LIU, L Commonality and postponement in multistage assembly systems. **European Journal of Operating Research**, Vol.142, pp.523–538, 2002.

MACDUFFIE, J.; SETHURAMAN, K.; FISHER, M. Product Variety and Manufacturing Performance: Evidence from the International Automotive Assembly Plant Study. **Management Science**, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 350-369, 1996.

MAPES, J.; NEW, C.; SZWEJCZEWSKI, M. Performance trade-offs in manufacturing plants. **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, Vol. 17, No. 10, pp.1020-1033, 1997.

MCCUTCHEON, D.; RATURI, A.; MEREDITH, J. The customization-responsiveness squeeze. **Sloan Management Review**, Vol.35, No.2, pp. 89-99, 1994.

MENDELSON, H.; PARLAKTURK, A. Product-Line Competition: Customization vs. Proliferation. **Management Science**, Vol. Articles in Advance, pp.1–15, 2008.

MEYER, M.; LEHNERD, A. **The power of product platform: building value and cost leadership**. The Free Press, New York, 1997.

MILLER, J.; VOLLMANN, T. The hidden factory. **Harvard Business Review**, Vol.5, pp.142-150, 1985.

MIRCHANDRI, P.; MISHRA, A. Component commonality: models with product specific service constraint. **Production and Operations Management**, Vol.11, pp. 199-215, 2001.

MONTOYA, W; MITZI, M.; CALANTONE, R. Determinants of new product performance: A review and meta-analysis. **Journal Product Innovation Management**, Vol.11, pp.397-417, 1994.

MUFFATO M. Platform strategies in international new product development, **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, Vol. 19, No. 5/6, p. 449-459, 1999.

NOVAK, S.; EPPINGER, S.D. Sourcing By Design: Product Complexity and the Supply Chain, **Management Science**, Vol. 47, No. 1, 2001.

OICA – Organização Internacional de Construtores de Automóveis. Disponível em: <http://www.oica.net> acesso em 19/09/2008.

PAGH, J.; COOPER, M. Supply Chain Postponement and Speculation Strategies: How to Choose the Right Strategy. **Journal of Business Logistics**, Vol. 19, No.2, 1998.

PERONA, M.; MIRAGLIOTTA, G. Complexity management and supply chain performance assessment. A field study and a conceptual framework, **International Journal of Production Economics**, Vol. 90, No. 1, p. 103-115, 2004.

PIL, F.; HOLWEG, M. Linking Product Variety to Order-Fulfillment Strategies, **Interfaces**, Vol. 34, No. 5, pp. 394-403, 2004.

PINE II, J.B. **Mass Customization: The New Frontier in Business Competition**, Harvard Business School Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993.

POLO, E.; CHERNATONY, L. Effect Of Brand Extension Strategies On Brand Image A Comparative Study Of The UK And Spanish Markets. **International Marketing Review**, Vol. 25, No.1, pp. 107-137, 2008

PORTER, M. **Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance**, The Free Press, New York, 1985.

PUTSIS, W.; BAYUS, B. An empirical analysis of firm's product line decisions. **Journal of Marketing Research**, Vol. 38, No.1, pp.110-118, 2001.

QUELCH, J.; KENNY, D. Extend profits, not product lines. **Harvard Business Review**, September-October pp.153-160, 1994

RAMDAS, K.; SAWHNEY, M. A Cross-Functional Approach to Evaluating Multiple Line Extensions for Assembled Products. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.1, pp.22-36, 2001.

RANDALL, T.; ULRICH, K. Product Variety, Supply Chain Structure, and Firm Performance: Analysis of the U.S. Bicycle Industry. **Management Science**, Vol.47, No.12, pp.1588–1604, 2001.

RATCHFORD, B.; GUPTA, P. On the interpretation of price-quality relations. **Journal of Consumer Policy**, Vol.13, No.4, 1990.

REISENBECK, H.; HEITMAN, A.; ALGESHEIMER, R. An Approach to Profit-maximizing Product Design on the Basis of the Platform Concept. **International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management**, Vol.23, No.7, pp.788-806, 2006.

RICHARDSON, P.; TAYLOR, A.; GORDON, J. A strategic approach to evaluating manufacturing performance. **Interfaces**, Vol.15, No.6, 1985.

ROBERTSON, D.; ULRICH, K. Planning product platform. **Sloan Management Review**, Vol.39, No.4, 1998.

ROCHA, E.V.M. **Métodos e sistemas de gestão de produção de veículos sob a ótica das tendências de produção sob encomenda e customização em massa**. Dissertação de Mestrado – Departamento de Engenharia Industrial, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 2005, 84 pp.

SAFIZADEH, M.; RITZMAN, L.; SHARMA, D.; WOOD, C. An empirical analysis of the product-process matrix. **Management. Science**, Vol.42 No.11, 1996.

SALVADOR, F.; FORZA, C.; RUNGTUSANATHAM, M. Modularity, product variety, production volume, and component sourcing: theorizing beyond generic prescriptions. **Journal of Operations Management**, Vol.20, No.5, pp.549-575, 2002.

SCAVARDA, L., REIS, A., SCHAFFER, J., CARMO, A. Product Variety: An Auto Industry Analysis and a Benchmarking Study.. **Benchmarking**, 2009.

SCAVARDA, L.; BARBOSA, T.; HAMACHER, S. Comparação entre as tendências e estratégias da indústria automobilística no Brasil e na Europa, **Gestão & Produção**, Vol.12, No.3, pp.361-375, 2005.

SCHMALENSEE, R. A model of advertising and product quality. **The Journal of Political Economy**, Vol.86, No.3, 1978.

SELTENE, M.; BRUNEL, O. Brand Extension: The Moderating Role Of The Category To Which The Brand Extension Is Found. **Journal of Product & Brand Management**, Vol.17, No.6, pp.393–402, 2008.

SHAFFER, G.; ZHANG, Z. Competitive coupon targeting. **Marketing Science**, Vol.14, No.4, 1995.

SHANKAR, V. Proactive and Reactive Product Line Strategies: Asymmetries Between Market Leaders and Followers. **Management Science**, Vol.52, No.2, pp.276-292, 2006.

SINDIPEÇAS – Sindicato Nacional da Indústria de Componentes para Veículos Automotores. Disponível em: <http://www.sindipecas.org.br> acesso em: 20/01/2009.

SOUZA, G.; BAYUS, B.; WAGNER, H. New Product Strategy and Industry Clockspeed. **Management Science**, Vol.50, No.4, pp. 537–549, 2004.

SWAMINATHAN, J.; NITSCH, T. Managing product variety in automobile assembly: the importance of sequencing point. **Interfaces**, Vol.37, No.4, pp.324-333, 2007.

SYAM, N.; RUAN, R.; HESS, J. Customized products: a competitive analysis. **Marketing Science**, Vol. 24, No.4, pp.569-584, 2005.

TANG, E.; YAM, R. Product Variety Strategy – An Environmental Perspective. **Integrated Manufacturing Systems**, Vol.7, No.6, pp.24-29, 1996.

TANNER, H.; ALDERS, K.; ENTRUP, N.; SCHOPF, K. A holistic methodology for enterprise-wide complexity management, In: **IAMOT 2002 Proceedings**, 11th International Conference on Management of Technology, 10-14 Março, Miami Beach, Flórida, 2002.

THONEMANN, U.; BRADLEY, J. The effect of product variety on supply-chain performance, **European Journal of Operational Research**, Vol.143, No.3, pp. 548-569, 2002.

THYSSEN, J.; ISRAELSEN, P.; JORGENSEN, B. Activity-Based Costing as a Method for Assessing the Economics of Modularization – A Case Study and Beyond. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol.103, pp.252-270, 2006.

TOYOTA – Toyota Motors Company. Disponível em: <http://www.toyota.co.jp> acesso em: 16/11/2008.

TUSHMAN, M.; O'REILLY, C. Ambidextrous organizations: managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. **California Management Review**, Vol.38, No.4, pp.8-30, 1996.

URBAN, L.; CARTER, T.; GASKIN, S.; MUCHA, Z. Market share rewards to pioneering brands: An empirical analysis and strategic implications. **Management Science**, Vol.32, No.6, pp.645-659, 1986.

VAAGEN, H.; WALLACE, S. Product Variety Arising from Hedging in the Fashion Supply Chains. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol.114, pp.431-455, 2008.

VAN DONK, D.; VAN DAM, P. Structuring complexity in scheduling: a study in a food processing industry. **International Journal of Operational & Production Management**, Vol.16, No.5, 1996.

VAN HOEK, R.; VOS, B.; COMMANDEUR, H. Restructuring European supply chains by implementing postponement strategies. **Long Range Planning**, Vol.32, No.5, pp.505–518, 1999.

VAN HOEK, R.I. The rediscovery of postponement a literature review and directions for research, **Journal of Operations Management**, Vol. 19, No. 2, p. 161-184, 2001.

VERGARA, S. **Projetos e relatórios de pesquisa em administração**. Atlas, São Paulo, 6^a Edição, 2005.

VOLKSWAGEN – Volkswagen. Disponível em: <http://www.vw.com> acesso em: 11/08/2008.

WALLER, B. Managing demand / selling time: How to achieve optimised production schedules and profit maximization in a build to order automotive manufacturing system, **3DayCar Programme Report**, Referência M3 – 9/01, set. 2001.

WIND, J.; VIJAV, M. Issues and opportunities in new product development: An introduction to the special issue. **Journal Marketing Reseach**, Vol.34, pp.1-12, 1997.

WINKLER, H. **Vorschungs Project über Complexität**. Fraunhofer IPA, Stuttgart, Alemanha, 2000.

WINTER, D.; ZOIA, D.E. Rethinking Platform Engineering, **Ward's Auto World**, Vol. 37, No. 3, p.46-50, 2001.

WOMACK, J.; JONES, D.; ROOS, D. **The Machine that Changed the World**. New York: Free Press, 2007.

WU, Y.; FRIZELLE, G.; EFSTATHIOU, J. A study on the cost of operational complexity in customer-supplier systems. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol.106, pp. 217–229, 2007.

XIA, Y.; CHEN, B.; KOUVELIS, P. Market-Based Supply Chain Coordination by Matching Suppliers' Cost Structures with Buyers' Order Profiles. **Management Science**, Vol.54, No.11, pp.1861–1875, 2008.

YAO, J.; LIU, L. Optimization analysis of supply chain scheduling in mass customization. **International Journal Production Economics**, Vol.117, pp.197-211, 2009.

YEH, K.; CHU, C. Adaptive strategies for coping with product variety decisions. **International Journal of Operations & Production Management**, Vol.11 No.8, pp. 35-47, 1991.

ZINN, W.; BOWERSOX, D. Planning physical distribution with the principle of postponement. **Journal of Business Logistics**, Vol.9, No.2, pp.117–136, 1998.

WATANABE, C.; ANE, B. Constructing a virtuous cycle of manufacturing agility: concurrent roles of modularity in improving agility and reducing lead time. **Technovation**, Vol.24, pp.573-583, 2004.

HUANG, G.; SIMPSON, T.; PINE II, B. The power of product platform in mass customization. **International Journal of Mass Customization**, Vol.1, No.1, 2005.

8 Apêndice

As tabelas a seguir apresentam as informações sobre a variedade de produtos ofertada para os países que compõe a União Européia, montadora a montadora. O somatório destas tabelas deu origem a tabela 13.

FORD GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	11	5	24	35
Reino Unido	10	5	24	35
França	10	4	21	31
Espanha	10	4	21	34
Itália	10	4	20	28
Austria	10	4	21	32
Bélgica	10	4	21	33
Bulgaria	8	3	13	19
Chipre	3	1	5	8
Rep. Checa	7	3	17	26
Dinamarca	8	3	18	27
Eslovaquia	7	3	17	27
Eslóvenia	7	2	11	14
Estonia	4	2	11	15
Finlândia	10	4	21	31
Grécia	7	3	17	27
Hungria	7	3	17	26
Irlanda	10	4	20	32
Letônia	6	2	9	14
Lituânia	6	2	8	13
Luxemburgo	7	3	17	27
Malta	10	4	21	33
Holanda	10	4	21	32
Polônia	7	3	17	27
Portugal	10	4	21	32
Romênia	3	1	5	8
Suécia	10	4	21	32
Média	8,07	3,26	17,00	25,85
D. Padrão	2,30	1,06	5,48	8,41

GENERAL MOTORS GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	13	4	21	29
Reino Unido	12	4	19	28
França	13	4	21	30
Espanha	13	4	21	31
Itália	13	4	21	28
Austria	13	4	21	29
Bélgica	13	4	21	31
Bulgaria	11	3	16	26
Chipre	11	4	16	29
Rep. Checa	11	4	18	22
Dinamarca	12	4	19	29
Eslovaquia	13	3	19	28
Eslóvenia	13	3	18	30
Estonia	13	4	21	33
Finlândia	10	4	16	24
Grécia	13	4	22	29
Hungria	14	4	22	34
Irlanda	12	4	20	29
Letônia	8	3	12	18
Lituânia	7	2	10	13
Luxemburgo	8	3	12	18
Malta	9	3	12	19
Holanda	13	4	20	30
Polônia	14	4	22	34
Portugal	8	3	11	17
Romênia	7	3	8	16
Suécia	11	4	17	24
Média	11,41	3,63	17,63	26,22
D. Padrão	2,19	0,56	4,17	5,85

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	11	4	24	36
Reino Unido	11	4	23	33
França	11	4	24	36
Espanha	9	4	22	35
Itália	11	4	23	35
Austria	11	4	23	35
Bélgica	7	3	17	27
Bulgaria	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chipre	8	3	17	24
Rep. Checa	11	4	23	35
Dinamarca	11	4	23	35
Eslováquia	10	4	23	36
Eslovenia	9	4	22	35
Estonia	10	2	12	19
Finlândia	10	4	21	33
Grécia	10	4	23	35
Hungria	7	3	16	26
Irlanda	8	4	19	31
Letônia	11	3	19	31
Lituânia	11	4	21	32
Luxemburgo	9	4	20	31
Malta	2	1	4	5
Holanda	11	4	23	35
Polônia	10	4	24	35
Portugal	9	4	21	32
Romênia	9	4	21	33
Suécia	11	4	24	35
Média	9,54	3,65	20,46	31,35
D. Padrão	2,00	0,75	4,47	6,80

TOYOTA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	9	3	15	19
Reino Unido	8	3	12	16
França	6	2	10	13
Espanha	6	2	10	14
Itália	9	3	15	17
Austria	9	3	15	19
Bélgica	9	3	15	17
Bulgaria	5	1	6	7
Chipre	1	1	4	4
Rep. Checa	6	2	11	13
Dinamarca	6	2	10	10
Eslováquia	5	1	6	10
Eslovenia	5	1	6	10
Estonia	6	2	10	10
Finlândia	6	2	10	11
Grécia	8	3	11	14
Hungria	3	2	10	14
Irlanda	9	3	13	16
Letônia	6	2	10	12
Lituânia	6	2	10	11
Luxemburgo	6	2	9	13
Malta	8	2	9	13
Holanda	9	3	15	19
Polônia	1	1	4	4
Portugal	9	3	13	16
Romênia	5	1	6	9
Suécia	6	2	10	14
Média	6,37	2,11	10,19	12,78
D. Padrão	2,27	0,75	3,32	4,06

HONDA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	5	1	6	8
Reino Unido	5	1	6	8
França	5	1	6	8
Espanha	5	1	6	8
Itália	5	1	6	8
Austrácia	5	1	6	8
Bélgica	5	1	6	8
Bulgaria	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chipre	4	1	5	6
Rep. Checa	5	1	6	9
Dinamarca	3	1	4	5
Eslovaquia	5	1	6	9
Eslovênia	5	1	6	8
Estonia	5	1	6	8
Finlândia	4	1	5	7
Grécia	4	1	5	6
Hungria	5	1	6	9
Irlanda	5	1	6	8
Letônia	5	1	6	8
Lituânia	5	1	6	8
Luxemburgo	NA	NA	NA	NA
Malta	NA	NA	NA	NA
Holanda	5	1	6	8
Polônia	5	1	6	9
Portugal	4	1	4	6
Romênia	5	1	5	7
Suécia	5	1	6	8
Média	4,75	1,00	5,67	7,71
D. Padrão	0,53	0,00	0,64	1,04

As tabelas a seguir serviram de base para a construção da tabela 14.

FORD GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
México	9	5	21	25
Brasil	5	2	8	12
Índia	4	1	5	5
China	9	4	14	17
Russia	10	4	18	29
Africa do Sul	10	4	15	19
Coréia do Sul	7	3	10	11
Argentina	4	2	8	12
Australia	10	4	16	21
Indonesia	3	2	4	5
Arabia Saudita	6	2	8	11
Turquia	7	3	15	20
Média	7,00	3,00	11,83	15,58
D. Padrão	2,59	1,21	5,39	7,53

GENERAL MOTORS GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
México	10	3	17	19
Brasil	6	1	8	11
Índia	5	2	5	8
China	10	4	12	17
Russia	10	4	17	27
Africa do Sul	10	4	14	17
Coréia do Sul	7	3	9	14
Argentina	6	1	8	11
Australia	8	2	8	17
Indonesia	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arabia Saudita	13	4	17	24
Turquia	10	3	15	26
Média	8,64	2,82	11,82	17,36
D. Padrão	2,42	1,17	4,40	6,28

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
México	9	3	17	23
Brasil	8	2	12	20
Índia	6	2	8	11
China	10	2	15	20
Russia	10	3	18	26
Africa do Sul	8	3	15	21
Coréia do Sul	7	2	10	14
Argentina	9	3	16	24
Australia	7	2	10	15
Indonesia	7	2	10	12
Arabia Saudita	9	3	16	25
Turquia	10	4	22	34
Média	8,33	2,58	14,08	20,42
D. Padrão	1,37	0,67	4,12	6,65

TOYOTA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
México	3	1	4	7
Brasil	4	2	4	5
Índia	2	1	2	2
China	10	3	16	16
Russia	5	1	5	7
Africa do Sul	9	3	12	15
Coreia do Sul	2	1	5	5
Argentina	2	1	2	3
Australia	5	2	9	13
Indonesia	6	2	6	8
Arabia Saudita	5	2	8	8
Turquia	8	2	9	11
Média	5,08	1,75	6,83	8,33
D. Padrão	2,75	0,75	4,17	4,54

HONDA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
México	4	2	5	7
Brasil	3	1	3	3
Índia	3	1	3	3
China	4	2	5	6
Russia	4	1	4	5
Africa do Sul	4	1	5	6
Coreia do Sul	3	1	3	3
Argentina	4	1	4	4
Australia	5	2	6	7
Indonesia	3	1	3	5
Arabia Saudita	NA	NA	NA	NA
Turquia	5	1	5	7
Média	3,82	1,27	4,18	5,09
D. Padrão	0,75	0,47	1,08	1,64

As tabelas a seguir serviram de base para a construção da tabela 15.

FORD GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	11	5	24	35
Reino Unido	10	5	24	35
França	10	4	21	31
Itália	10	4	20	28
Japão	12	4	22	30
EUA	12	7	27	34
Canadá	12	7	24	33
Média	11,00	5,14	23,14	32,29
D. Padrão	1,00	1,35	2,34	2,69

GENERAL MOTORS GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	13	4	21	29
Reino Unido	12	4	19	28
França	13	4	21	30
Itália	13	4	21	28
Japão	5	3	7	10
EUA	11	5	22	27
Canadá	12	6	23	32
Média	11,29	4,29	19,14	26,29
D. Padrão	2,87	0,95	5,49	7,36

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	11	4	24	36
Reino Unido	11	4	23	33
França	11	4	24	36
Itália	11	4	23	35
Japão	8	2	12	18
EUA	8	2	11	17
Canadá	8	2	12	19
Média	9,71	3,14	18,43	27,71
D. Padrão	1,60	1,07	6,35	9,16

TOYOTA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	9	3	15	19
Reino Unido	8	3	12	16
França	6	2	10	13
Itália	9	3	15	17
Japão	10	2	18	24
EUA	6	2	10	11
Canadá	5	2	8	9
Média	7,57	2,43	12,57	15,57
D. Padrão	1,90	0,53	3,55	5,09

HONDA GROUP				
	Platform	Brands	Models	Bodytype
Alemanha	5	1	6	8
Reino Unido	5	1	6	8
França	5	1	6	8
Itália	5	1	6	8
Japão	7	1	11	16
EUA	5	2	6	7
Canadá	5	2	8	10
Média	5,29	1,29	7,00	9,29
D. Padrão	0,76	0,49	1,91	3,09

As tabelas a seguir formam a base para a construção da tabela 16.

FORD GROUP				
	<i>Platform</i>	<i>Brands</i>	<i>Models</i>	<i>Bodytype</i>
Alemanha	11	5	24	35
Reino Unido	10	5	24	35
Fráncia	10	4	21	31
Itália	10	4	20	28
Japão	12	4	22	30
EUA	12	7	27	34
Canadá	12	7	24	33
Média	11,00	5,14	23,14	32,29
D. Padrão	1,00	1,35	2,34	2,69
GENERAL MOTORS GROUP				
	<i>Platform</i>	<i>Brands</i>	<i>Models</i>	<i>Bodytype</i>
Alemanha	13	4	21	29
Reino Unido	12	4	19	28
Fráncia	13	4	21	30
Itália	13	4	21	28
Japão	5	3	7	10
EUA	11	5	22	27
Canadá	12	6	23	32
Média	11,29	4,29	19,14	26,29
D. Padrão	2,87	0,95	5,49	7,36
VOLKSWAGEN GROUP				
	<i>Platform</i>	<i>Brands</i>	<i>Models</i>	<i>Bodytype</i>
Alemanha	11	4	24	36
Reino Unido	11	4	23	33
Fráncia	11	4	24	36
Itália	11	4	23	35
Japão	8	2	12	18
EUA	8	2	11	17
Canadá	8	2	12	19
Média	9,71	3,14	18,43	27,71
D. Padrão	1,60	1,07	6,35	9,16
TOYOTA GROUP				
	<i>Platform</i>	<i>Brands</i>	<i>Models</i>	<i>Bodytype</i>
Alemanha	9	3	15	19
Reino Unido	8	3	12	16
Fráncia	6	2	10	13
Itália	9	3	15	17
Japão	10	2	18	24
EUA	6	2	10	11
Canadá	5	2	8	9
Média	7,57	2,43	12,57	15,57
D. Padrão	1,90	0,53	3,55	5,09
HONDA GROUP				
	<i>Platform</i>	<i>Brands</i>	<i>Models</i>	<i>Bodytype</i>
Alemanha	5	1	6	8
Reino Unido	5	1	6	8
Fráncia	5	1	6	8
Itália	5	1	6	8
Japão	7	1	11	16
EUA	5	2	6	7
Canadá	5	2	8	10
Média	5,29	1,29	7,00	9,29
D. Padrão	0,76	0,49	1,91	3,09