Referências Bibliográficas

- ADAM, K.. Optimal monetary policy with imperfect common knowledge. Journal of Monetary Economics (Forthcoming), 54(2):267–301, March 2007. 1
- [2] ANGELETOS, G.-M.; PAVAN, A.. Transparency of information and coordination in economies with investment complementarities. American Economic Review, 94(2):91–98, May 2004. 1
- [3] BERNANKE, B., Fedspeak. In: FBR SPEECH, JANUARY 3, 2004, 2004.
- [4] BLANCHARD, O. J.; KIYOTAKI, N.. Monopolistic competition and the effects of aggregate demand. American Economic Review, 77(4):647-66, September 1987. 2
- [5] CRAWFORD, V. P.; SOBEL, J.. Strategic information transmission. Econometrica, 50(6):1431-51, November 1982. 1, 3.3, 1, 4, 4
- [6] DINCER, N. N.; EICHENGREEN, B.. Central bank transparency: Where, why, and with what effects? Working Paper 13003, National Bureau of Economic Research, March 2007. 1
- [7] FERGUSON, R. W.. Recent changes in household finances and home lending. In: FBR SPEECH, NOVEMBER 18,2005, 2005.
- [8] GREENSPAN, A.. Tranparency in monetary policy. In: FBR SPEECH, OCTOBER 11, 2001, 2001.
- [9] HELLWIG, C.. Heterogeneous information and the benefits of public information disclosures (october 2005). UCLA Economics Online Papers 283, UCLA Department of Economics, Feb. 2005. 1
- [10] ISSING, O.. Monetary policy implications of heterogeneity in a currency area. In: DINNER SPEECH, FRANKFURT 13 AND 14 DECEMBER 2004, 2004.
- [11] KRISHNA, V.; MORGAN, J.. The art of conversation: eliciting information from experts through multi-stage communication. Journal of Economic Theory, 117(2):147–179, August 2004. 1

- [12] KYDLAND, F. E.; PRESCOTT, E. C.. Rules rather than discretion: The inconsistency of optimal plans. Journal of Political Economy, 85(3):473-91, June 1977. 2
- [13] LORENZONI, G.. News shocks and optimal monetary policy. NBER Working Papers 12898, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, 2007. 1
- [14] MORRIS, S.; SHIN, H. S.: Rethinking Multiple Equilibria in Macroeconomic Modeling, volumen 15, chapter 3, p. 139–161. NBER Macroeconomics Annual, 2000. 1
- [15] MORRIS, S.; SHIN, H. S. Social value of public information. American Economic Review, 92(5):1521–1534, December 2002. 1
- [16] MORRIS, S.; SHIN, H. S.. Global games: Theory and aplications. In: Dewatripont, M.; Hansen, L. ; Turnovsky, S., editors, ADVANCES IN ECONOMICS AND ECONOMETRICS, p. 56–114. Cambridge University Press, 2003. 1
- [17] PIGA, G.. Dependent and accountable: Evidence from the modern theory of central banking. Journal of Economic Surveys, 14(5):563-595, December 2000. 2
- [18] ROMER, C. D.; ROMER, D. H.. Federal reserve information and the behavior of interest rates. Amercian Economic Review, 90(3):429-457, June 2000. 1
- [19] STEIN, J. C.. Cheap talk and the fed: A theory of imprecise policy announcements. American Economic Review, 79(1):32-42, March 1989.
- [20] SVENSSON, L. E.. Social value of public information: Morris and shin (2002) is actually pro transparency, not con. NBER Working Papers 11537, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, Aug. 2005. 1
- [21] WOODFORD, M.. Imperfect common knowledge and the effects of monetary policy. NBER Working Papers 8673, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, Dec. 2001. 1

A Primeiro Apêndice

After observing a message s_n , the firms can infer that the state lies in $[\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}]$ so that

$$E[\theta|s_n, x_i] = Pr(I=1)E(\theta|s_n, x_i, I=1) + Pr(I=0)E(\theta|s_n, x_i, I=0)$$

= $qx_i + (1-q)y_n$

where $y_n \equiv E(\theta|s_n) = \frac{\theta_n + \theta_{n-1}}{2}$.

Now, if receiver $j \neq i$ follows a strategy of the form

$$p_j = kx_j + (1-k)y_n,$$
 (A-1)

the average price level will be:

$$\bar{p}|s_n, \theta = \int_j p_j dj = \int_x f(x|\theta) P_j(x, s_n)$$

= $qk\theta + (1 - qk)y_n$

so that

$$E[\bar{p}|s_n, x_j] = qkE[\theta|s_n, x_j] + (1 - qk)y_n$$

Plugging the above in firm i's best response one gets:

$$p_i = (1-r)E(\theta|s_n, x_i) + r[kqE(\theta|s_n, x_i) + (1-kq)y_n]$$

= $q(1-r(1-kq))x_i + (1-q(1-r(1-kq)))y_n.$

which takes exactly the same form as A-1 for

$$k = q(1 - r(1 - qk)) \implies k = \underbrace{\left(\frac{q(1 - r)}{1 - rq^2}\right)}_{<1} < q.$$

This discussion proves proposition 4