

Bibliografia

- Anscombe, G.E.M.: 1969 ‘Causality and Extensionality’ in: *The Journal of Philosophy* 66: 152-59.
- Armstrong, D. M. 1997. *A World of States of affairs*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____.: 2000. ‘Difficult cases in the theory of truth-making’ in: *The Monist*, 83: 150-160.
- _____.: 2004. *Truth and Truthmakers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____.: 2005. ‘Reply to Simons and Mumford’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 82: 271-276.
- Austin, J.L.: 1950. ‘Truth’ in: Blackburn & Simmons (2000).
- _____.: 1961. ‘Unfair to facts’ in: Blackburn & Simmons (2000).
- Barwise, J. & Perry, J.: 1975. “Semantic Innocence and Uncompromising Situations”, *Midwest Studies in Philosophy, Vol. IV: The Foundations of Analytic Philosophy*, Peter French, P., Uehling, T., and Wettstein, H. (eds.), Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. Reimpresso em Martinich, A., *The Philosophy of Language*. New York: Oxford University Press , 1985, pp. 369- 81.
- Beall, J.C.: 2000. ‘On Truthmakers for Negative Truths’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 78 (2), pp. 264-68
- Beebee, H. & Dodd, J. (eds.): 2005. *Truthmakers: the contemporary debate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Benacerraf, P.: 1973. ‘Mathematical Truth’ in: *The Journal of Philosophy* 70: 661-679.
- Bigelow, J.: 1988. *The Reality of Numbers*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Blackburn, S & Simmons, K. (ed.): 2000. *Truth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brandom, R.: 1994. *Making it Explicit*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Bunge, M.: 1974. ‘The relations of logic and semantics to ontology’ in: *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 3: 195-209.

Burge, T.: 1986. ‘Frege on Truth’ in: Haaparanta & Hintikka (eds.), *Frege Synthesized*, Dordrecht: Reidel, pp. 97-154.

Cameron, R.P.: 2005. ‘Truthmaker Necessitarianism and Maximalism’ in: *Logique et Analyse* 48: 43-56.

Chateaubriand, O.: 2001. *Logical Forms*, vol. I, Campinas: UNICAMP-CLE.

_____.: 2002. “Descriptions: Frege and Russell Combined,” *Synthese* 130, 213-226.

_____.: 2005. *Logical Forms*, vol. II, Campinas: UNICAMP-CLE.

_____.: 2006. ‘Deconstructing ‘On Denoting’’ in: Imaguire & Linsky (eds.). *On Denoting 1905-2005*. Munique: Philosophia Verlag, 2005, p. 361-380.

Church, A.: 1943. Carnap's ‘Introduction to Semantics’ in: *Philosophical Review* 52: 298-304.

Cox, D.: 1997. ‘The Trouble with Truth-makers’ in: *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 78: 45-62.

Cresswell, M.J. & Hughes, G.E.: 1996. *A New Introduction to Modal Logic*. Florence: Routledge.

Currie, G.: 1980. ‘Frege on thoughts’ in: *Mind*, 89, 234-248.

_____.: 1982. ‘Frege, sense and mathematical knowledge’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 60: 5-19.

_____.: 1985. ‘The Analysis of Thoughts’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 63: 283-298.

_____.: 1987. ‘Remarks on Frege's Conception of Inference’ in: *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 28: 55-69.

da Silva, J.J. (ed.): 2004. *Manuscrito – Edição Especial: Logic, Truth and Description: Essays on Chateaubriand's Logical Forms*. Vol. 27, n. 1.

Davidson, D.: 1967a. ‘Truth and Meaning’ in: *Synthese* 17: 304-23. Reimpresso em Davidson, D, *Inquiries into Truth and Interpretation* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984, pp. 17-36.

_____.: 1967b. ‘Causal Relations’, *The Journal of Philosophy* 64: 691-703.

_____.: 1969. ‘True to the Facts’ in: *The Journal of Philosophy* 66: 748-764. Reimpresso em Davidson, D, *Inquiries into Truth and Interpretation* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984, pp. 37-54.

- _____.: 1990 ‘The Structure and Content of Truth’, *The Journal of Philosophy* 87: 279-328.
- _____.: 1996 ‘The folly of trying to define truth’ in: *The Journal of Philosophy* 93: 263-278.
- _____.: 1999. ‘The Centrality of Truth’ in: Peregrin, J. (ed.) in: *Truth and its Nature (if any)*, Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Dodd, J.: 2000. *An Identity Theory of Truth*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Dodd, J.: 2005. ‘Introduction’, in: Beebe, H. and Dodd, J. (eds.) *Truthmakers: the contemporary debate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 1-16.
- Donaho, S.: 1998. ‘Are declarative sentences representational?’ in: *Mind* 107: 33-57.
- Drai, D.: 2002. ‘The slingshot argument: an improved version’ in: *Ratio (new series)* 15: 194-204.
- Dummett, M.: 1973. *Frege: Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- _____.: 1976. ‘Frege as realist’ in: *Inquiry* 19: 455-492.
- _____.: 1981. *The Interpretation of Frege's Philosophy*. London: Duckworth.
- _____.: 1993. *Origins of Analytical Philosophy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Fine, K.: 1982. ‘First-Order Modal Theories III – Facts’, *Synthese* 53, 43-122.
- Forrest, P. & Khentzos, D. (eds.): 2000. *Logique et Analyse - Special Issue: Truth Maker and Its Variants*. Vol.43 No.169-170.
- Fox, J. 1987. ‘Truthmaker’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 65: 188-207.
- Frege, G.: 1879. ‘*Begriffsschrift*, a formula language, modeled upon that of arithmetic, for pure thought’. Reimpresso em van Heijenoort, J. (ed.) *From Frege to Gödel*, Lincoln: toExcel Press.
- _____.: 1960. *Translations from the Philosophical Writings of Gottlob Frege (TPW)*. Trad. Geach e Black. Oxford: Blackwell.
- _____.: 1964. *The Basic Laws of Arithmetic: Exposition of the System, Volume I. (BLA)* Trad. M. Furth. Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1964.
- _____.: 1971. *On the Foundations of Geometry and Formal Theories of Arithmetic (FG)*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

- _____.: 1972. *Conceptual Notation and related articles*. (Ed. Bynum). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- _____.: 1979. *Posthumous Writings (PW)*. Trad. Long e White. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- _____.: 1980a. *Philosophical and Mathematical Correspondence (PMC)*. Trad. H. Kaal. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980.
- _____.: 1980b. *The Foundations of Arithmetic: A logico-mathematical enquiry into the concept of number (FA)*. Trad. J. L. Austin. Illinois: Northwestern University Press.
- _____.: 1984. *Collected Papers on Mathematics, Logic, and Philosophy (CP)*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- _____.: 1997. *The Frege Reader*. (Ed. Beaney), Oxford: Blackwell.
- Gabriel, G.: 1984. ‘Fregean Connection: Bedeutung, Value and Truth-Value’ in: *The Philosophical Quarterly* 34: 372-376.
- Gödel, K.: 1944. ‘Russell’s Mathematical Logic’, in: Schilpp, P. (ed.), *The Philosophy of Bertrand Russell*, Evans ton & Chicago: Northwestern University Press. Reimpresso em Benacerraf, P., and Putnam, H. (eds.), *Philosophy of Mathematics. Selected Readings*. Second Edition. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1983, pp. 447- 69.
- Gregory, D. 2001. ‘Smith on truthmakers’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 79: 422-27.
- Haaparanta, L. & Hintikka, J. (eds.,): 1986. *Frege Synthesized*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Heck, R.: 2003. ‘Frege on Identity and Identity-Statements: A Reply to Thau and Caplan’ in: *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 33: 83-102.
- _____.: 1997. ‘The Julius Caesar Objection’ in *Language, Thought, and Logic: Essays in Honour of Michael Dummett*. Oxford: OUP, 1997.
- _____.: 2005. ‘Julius Caesar and Basic Law V’ in: *Dialectica* 59: 161-78.
- Heck, R. & May, R.: 2006. ‘Frege’s Contribution to Philosophy of Language’ in: *Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Language*, edited by E. Lepore and B. Smith, no prelo.
- Helme, M.: 1983. ‘Frege’s ‘Beurtheilbarer Inhalt’’ in: *Analysis* 43: 70-72.
- Hugly, P. & Sayward, C.: 2000. ‘Frege on identities’ in: *History and Philosophy of Logic* 21: 195-205.

- Kant, I.: 1994. *Crítica da Razão Pura*. Lisboa: Calouste.
- Klemke, E.: 1968. *Essays on Frege*. Illinois: University of Illinois Press.
- Kluge, E.W.: 1970. ‘Reflections on Frege’ in: *Dialogue* 9: 401-9.
- _____.: 1975. ‘Frege et les termes sans référence’ in: *Dialogue* 14: 254-80.
- _____.: 1980. *The Metaphysics of Gottlob Frege*. Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Lewis, D.: 2001a. ‘Forget about the “correspondence theory of truth”’, *Analysis* 61: 275-80.
- _____.: 2001b. ‘Truthmaking and Difference-Making’. *Nous* 35 (4), pp. 602-615.
- _____.: 2003. ‘Things qua Truthmakers’, in: Lillehammer, H. and Rodriguez-Pereyra, G. (eds). *Real Metaphysics*, London and New York: Routledge, pp. 25-38.
- Lycan, W.G.: 1974. ‘The Extensionality of Cause, Space and Time’ in: *Mind* 83: 498-511.
- McGinn, C.: 1976. ‘A note on the Frege Argument’ in: *Mind* 85: 422-423.
- Molnar, G.: 2000. ‘Truthmakers for Negative Truths’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 78: 72-86.
- Mumford, S.: 2005. ‘The true and the false’, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 82: 263-269.
- Mulligan, K. *et al.*: 1984. ‘Truth-makers’ in: *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 44: 287-321.
- Mulligan, K.: (ed.) 1992. *Language, Truth and Ontology*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Neale, S.: 2001. *Facing Facts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Newen, A. *et al.*: 2001. *Building on Frege*. Stanford: CSLI.
- Newman, A.: 2002. *Correspondence Theory of Truth: An Essay on the Metaphysics of Predication*. Port Chester, Cambridge University Press.
- Niiniluoto, I.: 1999. ‘Tarskian Truth as Correspondence – Replies to some objections’ in: *Truth and its Nature (if any)* (ed. J. Peregrin) pp. 91-104. Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Nolan, D.: 2007. ‘Truthmakers and Predication’ in: *Oxford Studies in Metaphysics* (no prelo) disponível em <http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/philosophy/staff/Nolan> (fevereiro de 2007).

Nusenoff, R.E.: 1980. ‘Frege on ‘Possible Content of Judgment’’ in: *Analysis* 40: 83-85.

Oliver, A.: 1992. ‘The Metaphysics of Properties’ in: *Mind* 101: 1-80.

Olson, K.R.: 1987. *An Essay on Facts*. Stanford: CSLI.

Parsons, T.: 1986. ‘Why Frege Should Not Have Said ‘The Concept Horse is Not a Concept’’ in: *History of Philosophy Quarterly* 3: 449-65.

Pendlebury, M.: 1986. ‘Facts as Truthmakers’ in: *The Monist* 69: 177-188.

Peregrin, J.: 1999. *Truth and its Nature (if any)*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Perry, J.: 2000. ‘Evading the Slingshot’ in: *The Problem of Essential Indexical and Other Essays*, Califórnia: CSLI.

Picardi, E.: 1983. ‘On Frege’s notion of ‘Inhalt’’ in: *Atti del Convegno Internazionale di Storia della Logica*. Bolonha: CLUEB.

Quine, W.V.: 1971. ‘Reference and Modality’ in: *From a Logical Point of View*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

_____.: 1977. ‘Three grades of modal involvement’ in: *The Ways of Paradox*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Ray, R.: 1977. ‘Frege’s difficulties with identity’ in: *Philosophical Studies* 31: 219-234.

_____.: 1979. ‘Are truth values objects?’ in: *Philosophical Studies* 35: 199-211.

Read, S.: 1993 ‘The Slingshot Argument’ in: *Logique et Analyse* 143-4, pp. 195-218.

_____.: 2000. ‘Truthmakers and the Disjunction Thesis’ in: *Mind* 109: 67-79.

_____.: 2001. ‘Truthmakers, entailment and necessity’ in: *Essays on non-classical logic* ed. Heinrich Wansing. Singapore: World Scientific.

Restall, G.: 1996. ‘Truthmakers, entailment and necessity’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 74: 331-340.

_____.: 2004. ‘One Way to Face Facts’ in: *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 216: 420-426.

Robinson, D.: 2000. ‘Identity, Distinctnesses, Truthmakers, and Indiscernibility Principles’ in: Forrest, P. & Khentzos, D. (eds.) (2000), pp. 145-184.

Rodriguez-Pereira, G.: 1998. ‘Searle’s Correspondence Theory of Truth and the Slingshot’, *Philosophical Quarterly* 48: 513-22.

_____.: 2001. ‘Truthmaking and the Slingshot in: U. Meixner (Ed.), *Metaphysics in the Post-Metaphysical Age*, Viena: öbv&hpt Verlagsgesellschaft, pp. 99-106.

_____.: 2002. *Resemblance Nominalism*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

_____.: 2003. ‘Review: Facing Facts by Stephen Neale’, in: *Mind*, 112: 448, pp. 780-86.

_____.: 2005. ‘Why Truthmakers’, in: Beebe, H. and Dodd, J. (eds.) *Truthmakers: the contemporary debate*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 17-31.

_____.: 2006a. ‘Truthmaker Maximalism defended’, *Analysis* 66: 260-264.

_____.: 2006b. ‘Truthmakers’ in: *Philosophy Compass* 1: 186-200.

_____.: 2006c. ‘Truthmaking, Entailment, and the Conjunction Thesis’ in: *Mind* 115: 957-982.

Rodriguez-Pereira, G. & Lillehammer, H.: 2003. *Real Metaphysics: Essays in honour of D.H. Mellor*. London: Routledge.

Rodrigues Filho, A.A.: 2005. ‘Sobre a Concepção de Verdade de Tarski’ in: *Abstracta* 2: 24-61.

Ruffino, M.: 2003 (ed.) *Manuscrito – Edição Especial: Logic, Truth and Arithmetic: Essays on Gottlob Frege*. Vol. 26, n. 2.

_____.: 2004. ‘Church’s and Gödel’s slingshot arguments’ in: *Abstracta* 1: 27-40.

Russell, B.: 1918. ‘The Philosophy of Logical Atomism’, reimpresso em *Logic and Knowledge*, Londres: George Allen, 1971.

_____.: 1905. ‘On Denoting’, reimpresso em *Logic and Knowledge*, Londres: George Allen, 1971.

Schirn, M.: (ed.) 1976a. *Studien zu Frege I: Logik und Philosophie der Mathematik*. Stuttgart, Bad Cannstatt: Friedrich Frommann Verlag.

- _____.: (ed.) 1976b. *Studien zu Frege II: Logik und Sprachphilosophie*. Stuttgart, Bad Cannstatt: Friedrich Frommann Verlag.
- _____.: (ed.) 1996. *Frege: Importance and Legacy*. New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Schnieder, B.: 2006. ‘Troubles with truthmaking: necessitation and projection’ in: *Erkenntnis* 64: 61-74.
- Simons, P.: 2005. ‘Negatives, numbers, and necessity: some worries about Armstrong’s version of truthmaking’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 83: 253 – 261.
- Smith, B.: 1999. ‘Truthmaker Realism’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 77: 274-291.
- _____.: 2002. ‘Truthmaker Realism: response to Gregory’ in: *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 80: 231-234.
- Smith, B e Simon, J.: 2004. ‘Truthmaker Explanations’ in: J.-M. Monnoyer (ed.), *Proceedings of the conference: Truthmakers: Twenty Years After*, Aix-en-Provence, Dezembro, 2004. Disponível em <http://ontology.buffalo.edu.smith>.
- Sluga, H.: 1975. ‘Frege and the Rise of Analytic Philosophy’ in: *Inquiry* 18: 471-98.
- _____.: 1976. ‘Frege as a Rationalist’ in Schirn, M. (ed.): 1976a.
- _____.: 1977. ‘Frege's Alleged Realism’ in: *Inquiry* 20: 227-42.
- _____.: 1980 *Gottlob Frege*. London: Routledge.
- _____.: (ed.) *The Philosophy of Frege (4 vols.)*. New York: Garland Publishers, 1993.
- Sundholm, G. 1994 ‘Existence, Proof and Truthmaking’ in: *Topoi* 13:117-26.
- _____.: 2001. ‘Frege, August Bebel and the Return of Alsace-Lorraine: The dating of the distinction between *Sinn* and *Bedeutung*’ in: *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 22: 57-73.
- Strawson, P.F.: 1950. ‘Truth’ in: *Aristotelian Society*, sup. 24: 129-56. Reimpresso em *Logic-Linguistic Papers*, London: Methuen, 1971.
- Strawson, P.F.: 1965. ‘Truth: a reconsideration of Austin's Views’ in: *Philosophical Quarterly* 15: 289-301.
- Tarski, A.: 1983. *Logic, Semantics and Metamathematics*. Indianapolis: Hackett.

_____.: 1944. ‘The Semantic Conception of Truth and the Foundations of Semantics’ in: *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 4: 341–376.

Thau & Caplan.: 2001. ‘What’s puzzling Gottlob Frege?’ in: *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 31: 159-200.

Thiel, C.: 1968. *Sense and Reference in Frege's Logic*. Dordrecht: Reidel.

Tugendhat, E.: 1970. ‘The meaning of Bedeutung in Frege’ in: *Analysis* 30: 177.

van Fraassen, B.C.: 1975 ‘Facts and Tautological Entailments’ in: Anderson & Belnap, *Entailment: The Logic of Relevance and Necessity vol. I*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1975, pp.. 221-230.

van Heijenoort, J.: 1977a. ‘Sense in Frege’ in: *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 6: 93-102.

_____.: 1977b. ‘Frege on sense identity’ in: *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 6: 103-108.

_____.: 1967. ‘Logic as calculus and logic as language’ in: *Synthese* 17: 324

Vassalo, N.: 1997. ‘On Dummett's early Frege and analytical philosophy’ in: *Dialectica* 51: 171-187.

Weiner, J.: 1984. ‘The philosopher behind the last logicist’ in: *The Philosophical Quarterly* 34: 242-264.

_____.: 1986. ‘Putting Frege in perspective’ in: Haaparanta & Hintikka, *Frege Synthesized*.

_____.: 1990. *Frege in Perspective*. New York: Cornell University Press.

_____.: 1995a. ‘Burge's Literal Interpretation of Frege’ in: *Mind* 104: 585-597.

_____.: 1995b. ‘Realism bei Frege: reply to Burge’ in: *Synthese* 102: 363-382.

_____.: 1997a. ‘Frege and the Linguistic Turn’ in: *Philosophical Topics* 25: 265-288.

_____.: 1997b. ‘Has Frege a philosophy of language?’ in: Tait, W. (ed.), *Early Analytic Philosophy*, Chicago: Open Court, 1997.

_____.: 2001. ‘Theory and elucidation: the end of the age of innocence’ in: Floyd & Shieh (eds.), *Future pasts: the analytic tradition in 20th cent. Philosophy*. Oxford: OUP, 2001.

- _____.: 2002. ‘Section 31 revisited: Frege's elucidations’ in: E. Reck (ed), *From Frege to Wittgenstein: Perspectives on Early Analytic Philosophy*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002, pp.149-182.
- _____.: 2004. ‘What was Frege Trying to Prove’ in: *Mind* 113: 115-128.
- _____.: 2006 ‘On Fregean Elucidation’ in: Beaney, M. & Reck, E. (eds.), *Gottlob Frege: Critical Assessments of Leading Philosophers* (4 Volumes), London: Routledge, 2006.
- Widerker, D.: 1983. ‘The Extensionality Argument’ in: *Nous* 17: 457-68.
- Williamson, J.: 1976. ‘Facts and Truth’ in: *Philosophical Quarterly* 20: 321-333.
- Williamson, T. 1999. ‘Truthmakers and the converse Barcan formula’, *Dialectica*, 53: 253-70.
- Wilson, N.L.: 1974. ‘Facts, events and their identity conditions’ in: *Philosophical Studies* 25: 303-321.